



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_46125
Title of the Manuscript:	QUALITY ASSESSMENT OF SURFACE AND GROUND WATER OF HONG LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF ADAMAWA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title for Figures 1& 2 should be below each of the maps separately.	
Minor REVISION comments	Line1 should read 'The quality of water from surface and ground water sources in -----was studied to determine their suitability or otherwise for drinking or domestic purposes'. Line 2 should read 'Nine (9) samples, three (3) each from streams, dugwells and boreholes were randomly collected during the dry season' Line 3: the samples were analysed, and the values compared with WHO and NAFDAC standards to ascertain their compliance' Line 8: for boreholes, dug wells and boreholes. Sample collection: should read 'Three (3) samples each, from dug wells, streams and boreholes, were randomly collected' Determination of heavy metals: Magnesium, calcium and heavy metals (Cd, Pb, Zn, Fe, and Cu) concentrations were determined using AAS.	
Optional/General comments	Tables 1&2, 3&4, and 5&6 should be merged. Charts should be used for better illustration and comparison of data.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. L. Danhalilu
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria