



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_43417
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and Characterization of n-(4-(tert-butyl) benzyl)-1-(4-tert-butyl) phenyl)-n-methyl methanaminium chloride from Tert-butyl benzyl derivatives
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Observations to the manuscript: 2. 1. In figure 2 it is necessary to indicate the conditions of points VI and VII; only I-V points are shown. 3. 2. On line 84 you have to correct usessd by used 4. 3. In paragraph 167 it is indicated that quantitative yields were obtained, it seems to me that I should say moderate yields since in tables 1 and 2 this can be seen. 5. 4. Order the figures in table 4. 6. 5. According to what is discussed for Figure 5, should not the yield be higher when the Silica Chloride catalyst was used for this reaction? 6. In paragraph 315-320, I do not think it necessary to argue what is mentioned because the reaction times don't differ for each case (2 h vs 3 h)! 	<p>I have gone through the manuscript critically and the following adjustments have been made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Conditions of points VI and VII have now been clearly defined. ✓ The typographic error in line 84 (presently 115) "usessd" has been corrected to "used" ✓ Comment about the yield in line 167 (presently 198) has now been corrected. ✓ Figures in table 4 have now been ordered. ✓ The discussion of yield in respect of Figure 5 was not touched because it was in-line with the result obtained during the synthesis. It cannot be changed. ✓ The argument in line 315-320 (presently 349-354) has now been removed.
Optional/General comments		