



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_43051
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance evaluation of ecofriendly biolubricant obtained from waste cooking palm oil
New Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of quality parameters of ecofriendly biolubricant from waste cooking palm oil
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The English language in this revised version is still poor. Suggestions in this direction made by the reviewer attest to this. The authors must pay attention to this aspect.</p> <p>When several numerical references are quoted, they should appear in increasing order. This should be corrected every where in the text where indicated.</p> <p>Introduction Heavy metals, volatile organic matters, and polyaromatic hydrocarbons are not greenhouse gases. This confusion is due solely to poor punctuation.</p> <p>Materials and Methods the sentence "The material and methods used for this research are discussed below." is unnecessary. The last paragraph in paragraph 2.2 gives result and should be placed appropriately as suggested. Terms in an equation must be defined after the equation in a running sentence and not like other equations, for the reader to be familiar with such values.</p> <p>Results and Discussion The spacing in Tables 3.1 and 3.2 should be uniform with the others. The column titles in Tables 3.1 and 3.2 should carry the units, not the key.</p>	<p>The authors sincerely appreciated the reviewer's comment on the use of English language have edited the original manuscript.</p> <p>The authors strongly acknowledged the reviewer's observation and have edited the references in the original manuscript.</p> <p>The authors strongly agreed with the reviewer's observation on GHGs and have duly edited the original manuscript.</p> <p>The authors strongly agreed with the reviewer's observation on section under materials and have edited the original manuscript.</p> <p>The authors strongly agreed with the reviewer's suggestion and have moved paragraph 2.2 to discussion section in the original manuscript.</p> <p>The authors strongly agreed with the reviewer's position on the terms in the equations and have duly edited the original manuscript.</p> <p>The authors strongly agreed with the reviewer's observation on the tables and have duly formatted the tables in the original manuscript.</p>