



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_41594
Title of the Manuscript:	AMINO ACID PROFILE AND MINERAL CONTENTS OF BALANITES AEGYPTIACA KERNEL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Materials and Methods How much of kernel was sampled? What kind of equipment was used for amino acid determination? How can amino acid score was calculated?</p> <p>Describe sample preparation for mineral content determination</p> <p>Conclusion Too short conclusion. Please, expand it.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 500g of the kernel was sampled 2. Technicon Sequential Multi-Sample Amino Acid Analyzer (TSM) 3. An integrator attached to the Analyzer calculated the peak area proportional to the concentration of each of the amino acids. It was then compared with the WHO ideal protein for both adult and children. It was a comparism with the ideal values from WHO. 4. All corrections noted. Thank you
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 7: 'amino acid profile' not 'profiles'</p> <p>Line 8: "mineral content" not "mineral contents"</p> <p>Line 17: amino acid profile', "mineral content"</p> <p>Line 39: "mineral content" not "mineral contents"</p> <p>Line 172 "Conclusion" not 'Conclution'</p>	
Optional/General comments		