



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_45795
Title of the Manuscript:	Anther culturing a unique methodology in achieving homozygosity
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		I have checked the manuscript and visualized the mistakes and corrected them. The figure has been removed. The abbreviations are settled. The references mistakes are corrected. Grammatical faults are also reduced to great extent. The required and missing information has been provided as in case of names of media. Table titles have been added and are aligned according to the requirements. All the mistakes after removing and being replaced with corrections and highlighted. Hopefully this manuscript will now be according to the tempo of the journal.
Minor REVISION comments	Delete some in entire manuscript Enclosed as Reviewer comments Ms_AJAAR_45795	The indicated deletions have been performed. As reviewer's highlights modifications have been made to fit this manuscript for journal.
Optional/General comments	Appreciate the author/s for the excellent manuscript preparation.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	