



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_39238
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE CONDITION FACTOR, HEMATOLOGICAL AND SERUM BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF WILD AND HATCHERY COLLECTED BROODFISH OF THE AFRICAN CATFISH <i>Heterobranchus longifilis</i> (Valenciennes 1840)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript describes the hematological and biochemical parameters in both wild and cultures African catfish in Nigeria. The study is clear and direct. Comments: - Fig. 1 needs to be improved. - Were the wild fish sampled at the catching site? All of them sampled at the same time? - Information about the temperature, salinity... should be described. - In results section, data are written in the text but also appear in tables. Please, omitted the values in the text to avoid repetition. - Conclusion should be revised. The study demonstrates that the wild and cultured show the same blood parameters and indicate they are healthy. In my opinion, the manuscript could be published with minor modifications.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alberto Cuesta
Department, University & Country	Cell Biology And Histology, University Of Murcia, Spain