



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_43830
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of pre-storage plant extract application on sprouting, rot and weight loss of two cultivars of frafra potatoes [<i>Solenostemon rotundifolius</i> (Poir.)] from Upper East Region, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract need to include the following: When and where the experiment was conducted. Design, data collected, analysis Include only results that are trivial The reference to be arranged in alphabetical order Line 126 List the treatment Indicate how long was the experiment and why? Line 182 Delete $p > 0.05$ Line 326 What do you mean by "good"? Line 327: Delete "of both cultivars" Line 342 Delete $p < 0.05$</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract corrected 1. Nothing wrong with reference order. Referencing has been done in order of journal guideline. A similar method used in Bassey et al.; AJAAR, 7(1): 1-6, 2018; Article no.AJAAR.40320. Line 126 corrected Duration (21 weeks) added in line 75 Line 182 corrected Line 326 meaning of good indicated in same line (since the control of both cultivars recorded greater rot than the extract treated tubers) Line 327 corrected Line 342 corrected
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 2: Title to be tuned Line 78 must read Black and brown Line 98 why 18 hours any reference? Line 99 Why 30 minutes Line 139 record must read recorded Use of Frafra and frafra; need for consistency</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Line 2 is ok Line 78 corrected Line 98 No reference Line 99. No reference Line 139 corrected Consistency of frafra potato corrected
Optional/General comments	<p>Line 153-155 must come under temperature narration</p>	<p>Line 153-155 corrected</p>



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	