SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAAR_43632**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Application of tillage implements in different depths of soil on sugar beet (Beta Vulgaris L.) yield and some soil properties**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Suggested correction** **Abstract section:** *Methodology* 1) " ~~Using~~ Use of monogerm seeds of sugar beet have increased among farmers due to reducing thinning costs. *Conclusion*: 2). The following conclusions are ~~deduces~~ deduced from this research: 3). To prepare suitable seedbed ~~preparation~~ for sugar beet with monogerm seed, moldboard plow in the depth of 30-35 cm and rototiller are ~~suggests~~ suggested instead of chisel plow and disk harrow. 4). By using moldboard plow and rototiller, soil bulk density and soil cone index are reduced and seed emergence and seed emergence rate are enhanced and eventually sugar beet yield increased.	All comments were corrected in the manuscript and highlighted with yellow color based on reviewer's recommendations.
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	