



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_38898
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION, IDENTIFICATION AND PATHOGENICITY OF NATIVE ENTOMOPATHOGENIC NEMATODE STRAINS COLLECTED FROM HYDERABAD, TELANGANA STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Due to serious concerns associated with the use of pesticides, alternatives strategies are being emphasized throughout the world for the management of insect pests. Therefore, the use of entomopathogenic nematodes can be one of the feasible alternatives to the detrimental chemicals. In this manuscript the authors have isolated, identified and tested the pathogenicity of native entomopathogenic nematode strains collected from Hyderabad, Telangana state. Although the manuscript provides some valuable information about the subject, but there are some points in the manuscript which need to be addressed before its final acceptance. The methodologies and protocols used to conduct survey and identify entomopathogenic nematode strains are adequate but lack consistency. The authors need to revise this section to make some of the statements meaningful and understandable. Results although presented in detail but requires some revision to clarify some of the statements. The authors are advised to squeeze the results by giving some important information and then referring to the tables which contained the information or data. Some of the statements and sentences of discussion are Non-seq. The conclusion also needs revision and the authors should make recommendations on the basis of their results. Some of the references do not conform to the style of the journal and therefore, should be corrected. Cross check all the references. The manuscript requires strict language editing. In some of the case there is no proper use of verbs, tenses, words, articles on numerous occasions.	• Corrected all the valuable suggested points thoroughly • Rewritten some part of materials and methods and also result portion • Discussion and made appropriate conclusion to recommend the isolate to implement and recommendation • Checked reference portion and made it clear the journal style of format • Grammatical corrections are gone through and made readable style.
Minor REVISION comments		•
Optional/General comments		