



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_38101
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of pre-germination treatments and storage periods on germination and vigour of seeds of three cassava (manihot esculenta crantz) cultivars
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	1. In this work, effects of pre-germination treatments and storage periods on germination and vigour of seeds of three cassava (<i>Manihot esculenta</i> crantz) cultivars had been studied. 2. The title is brief and properly describes the contents. 3. The abstract is a sufficiently informative summary of the main aspects. 4. The key words will allow proper retrieval of the information in data-bases and internet. 5. The introduction of manuscript gives adequate information. 6. The material and methods section is correct and the study can be founded on the basis of the information it contains. 7. The quality and organization of figures are satisfactory. 8. Quantitative data were appropriately tested with statistical methods. 9. The results are reasonable. 10. The discussion is correct and circumscribed to the information presented. 11. The conclusions are warranted considering methods and results. 12. All the references are updated and pertinent, and cited in the text. 13. The organization and grammar are satisfactory. 14. The length of the manuscript is adequate.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Kürşat Çavuşoğlu</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Biology Department, Süleyman Demirel University, Turkey</i>