



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_37629
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF MORCHELLA CONICA COLLECTED FROM SWAT VALLEY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- You can only represent a result or data in a table or bar chart format but not both. Because table 1 and figure1 seems the same. - How was <i>Morchella conica</i> identified? Because it is supposed to be identified before use. - Line 160-161: please rephrase to “ it can be concluded from the study that water extraction of <i>Morchella conica</i> possess high antioxidant activity with increase in extracts concentration.	
Minor REVISION comments	- Line 8: conducted at University... - Line 9: in - Line 47: belonging..... - Line 107: have - Line 133: high	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akpi Uchenna Kalu
Department, University & Country	Microbiology, University Of Nigeria, Nigeria