

## Original Research Article

### **EFFICACY OF ANACARDIUM OCCIDENTALE AND CYMBOPOGON CITRATUS AS BIOPESTICIDES AGAINST MAIZE WEEVILS (*SITOPHILUS ZEAMAI* MOTSCH.) ON STORED MAIZE**

#### **ABSTRACT**

An experiment on the insecticidal potency of *Anacardium occidentale* and *Cymbopogon citratus* against maize weevils (*Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch.) on stored maize was evaluated at 1.0g, 1.5g and 2.0g doses per 20g maize grain in 300ml rearing jars. Toxicity in terms of mortality, oviposition, F1 generation emergence, grain damage, and grain weight loss were all noted. Data collected was subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using SPSS version 16.0 at 5% level of significance ( $P>0.05$ ). The results revealed the effect of the treatments in the control of *S. zeamais*. When compared with the control (untreated maize) experiment, significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) in the potency of the biopesticides was observed in the parameters measured. *A. occidentale* performed significantly lower than *C. citratus* as it recorded higher ( $18.75\pm9.68$ ) grain damage at 1.0g dose, as well as the grain weight loss (0.58) at 1.0g and 1.5g treatment doses respectively. This suggests that although the plant products were tested to possess insecticidal potency against the maize weevils, *C. citratus* performed significantly ( $P>0.05$ ) better than *A. occidentale* in the control of *S. zeamais* on stored maize. Hence, it could be used sustainably in the control of insect.

**KEYWORDS:** *Anacardium occidentale*, Biopesticide, *Cymbopogon citratus*, Maize, *Sitophilus zeamais*

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Maize (*Zea mays*) is a cereal crop majorly widely cultivated and grown in different parts of the world. Worldwide, about 785 million tons of maize is produced each year, with United States being the largest producer, with about accounting for about 42% of the overall production [1]. Maize is an important food crop in the tropics, subtropics and temperate regions where they are grown [2]. In Nigeria, and in Adamawa State, maize is one of the most important economic crops grown [3]. But

unfortunately, maize production in most parts of Nigeria is restricted to only the rainy months of the year. This however necessitates the need to store the maize grains throughout the dry months of the year.

However, the storage of maize grains and its maximum utilization is seriously affected by the activities of pests ~~in the storage~~ [4]. The major insect pest is *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). To enhance productivity of maize both in the field and reduce its loss in the storage, the control of insect pests activities is needed, one of which including the use of chemical insecticides. The use of insecticides is very effective and reliable, and can prevent loss of maize yield. They act quickly, which making them suitable for use in emergency situations [2]. But unfortunately, the extensive use of insecticides has caused problems such as the residual effect and the effect on non-target organisms. Hence, safe alternatives which are also effective, non-costly and biodegradable, are needed for the control of these pests against stored product pests, especially *S. zeamais* [5]. Farmers and researchers have been trying to control stored product pests like *S. zeamais* using plant products (biopesticide) which are readily available, cheap and environmentally friendly [6, 7].

In this study, the insecticidal potentials of leaf powders of *Anacardium occidentale* leaf powder and the leaf powder of *Cymbopogon citratus* were evaluated against the *S. zeamais* with a view of developing biopesticides against stored product pests.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study area

This study was carried out in Mubi town of Adamawa state, Nigeria. Mubi is located between latitude 10°12'N and longitude 13°10'E. The climate is tropical with average temperature of about 15-42°C in dry season and relative humidity ranging from 10-45% [8].

### Collection of materials

Samples of lemon grass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) and cashew leaves (*Anacardium occidentale*) were obtained around the Botanical Garden, (Adamawa State University) Mubi. They were cupboard-dried at room temperature in the University, Mubi. Adamawa University for four days and were subsequently pulverized into fine powder using

electric blender. Synthetic chemical (Rambo-permethrin 0.60%) was obtained in an agro-chemical store in Mubi market and was used as the positive control. Clean maize cobs was also obtained from a farmer in Mubi and shelled to obtain the grains.

### **Insect culture**

Parent stock of *S. zeamais* was obtained from infested maize grains obtained from a store in Mubi. The adult weevils obtained from the parent stock were transferred onto a clean maize grain in 500cm<sup>3</sup> rearing jar which was allowed to stand for 48 hours. Thereafter, the insects were discarded and the exposed maize was maintained under laboratory conditions for adult emergence of *S. zeamais* [9]. This helped in raising *S. zeamais* adults of the same size and age that were used to carry out the experiment.

### **Experimental setup**

Before the experiment was set up, the maize grains were oven-dried to a constant weight in an oven at between 40-45°C for two hours (2hrs) and were later air-dried in the laboratory to prevent moldiness for about an hour [9, 10]. 20g of the maize grains were placed measured and put in all each of the treatment cups. Three treatment doses of 1.0g, 2.0g and 3.0g were constituted and replicated 4 times. These were added individually to the already measured 20g of maize grains in the treatment jars and were mixed thoroughly to ensure a uniform coating of the grain by the treatment samples. Thereafter, 10 newly emerged adult *S. zeamais* obtained from the cultured jar were introduced into the experimental jars at the same time. The content of the jars were covered with muslin clothes with the aid of a rubber band. This was to prevent the insects from escaping and also to allow for ventilation.

### **Data collection**

#### **Mortality:**

Mortality count was noted and recorded at 24 hours post exposure intervals for 4 days. This continued for 96 hour (4) days. The dead insects were subsequently sorted as follows: The content of each experimental jar was emptied gently on a silver tray in the laboratory. Using a broom stick, the insects were touched one after the other. The inability of any of the insects to respond to one touch indicated a death individual. The dead insects were subsequently removed and the remaining contents and the live insects were put back in the jar.

87 **Oviposition:**

88 Oviposition was determined using the acid fuchsin staining method. 10 grains of maize  
89 from each jar was randomly picked on the 10<sup>th</sup> day, immersed in warm water for two to three  
90 minutes, drained and subsequently put in 0.5% acid fuchsin stained for two to five minutes. The  
91 grains were rinsed in water and were determined for cherry red gelatinous egg plugs. The  
92 number of egg plug noticed on the 10 grains was extrapolated for the entire jar using an average  
93 number of 82 grains per jar [11].

94

---

95 **F1 generation emergence:**

96 The F1 progeny emergence was observed within 4-5 weeks after the exposure to  
97 treatments in the course of the experiment. The newly emerged adult *S. zeamais* was counted and  
98 recorded.

99 **Grain damage:**

100 Ten maize grains were selected randomly from each plastic jar and were noted for grain  
101 damage. Maize grains observed with holes, cracks and any form of abrasion noticed were  
102 considered as damaged grains. The number of damages was noted on 10 randomly selected  
103 maize grains, and was extrapolated for the entire jar using an average number of 82 grains per  
104 jar.

105 **Weight loss:**

106 The weight of the maize grain in each experimental jar was initially noted using a weighing  
107 balance prior to the experimental setup. Thereafter, the final weight of the grain was also noted after  
108 the experiment. Therefore, weight loss was determined by subtracting the final weight from  
109 the initial weight, as shown in the formula below:

110 Weight loss = initial weight – final weight

111

## Data analysis:

Data collected were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), and the means were separated at  $P>0.05$  level of probability using Least Significant Difference (LSD).

## RESULTS

Table 1 shows the toxicity effect of treatments (*C. citratus* and *A. occidentale*) in terms of mortality of *S. zeamais* reared on maize grain. Overall, the mortality counts on various treatments and the control (untreated) experiment were significantly different ( $P>0.05$ ). Although the mortality was spread across the four days of exposure in all the treated jars, there was no mortality recorded in the control experiment throughout the exposure period. At the end of the four days of exposures, there was a significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) in the mortality recorded across the treatments and the control. Among the plant treatments, *A. occidentale* appeared to have recorded a significant higher mortality than the *C. citratus* (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the effect of the plant treatments in suppressing egg laying and adult F1 progeny emergence of *S. zeamais*. The results revealed that there was a significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) in both the egg laying and the number of F1 generation emergence between the treatments and control (untreated) experiment. The treatments significantly ( $P>0.05$ ) reduced the number of eggs laid by the adult *S. zeamais* and the number of adult emergence. The number eggs laid was significantly ( $P>0.05$ ) lower in grains treated with *A. occidentale* (12.30) at 1.0g dose, while the highest (18.45) was recorded in *C. citratus* at 1.0g dose and *A. occidentale* at 1.5g dose respectively. As expected, the synthetic insecticide (Rambo-permethrin 0.60%) significantly ( $P>0.05$ ) reduced the number of eggs laid to as low as 2.05 in 1.0g and 2.0g treatment dose, and no adult emerged (0.00) for F1 generation.

The effect of treatments in the protection of maize grain from damage and weight loss as a result of *S. zeamais* activities is shown in Table 3. There was a significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) in grain damage and subsequent weight loss by the maize grains as a result of the insect activities, when compared with the control (untreated) experiment. Here, the grains treated with *A. occidentalis* significantly ( $P>0.05$ ) recorded higher damages ( $18.75\pm 9.68$ ) at 1.0g dose. The subsequent weight loss recorded was a clear reflection of the result on grain damage, as maize treated with *A. occidentalis* significantly ( $P>0.05$ ) recorded higher (0.58) at 1.0g and 1.5g

141 treatment doses respectively. As expected, the synthetic insecticide (Rambo) at all treatment  
142 doses significantly reduced grain damage as well as the subsequent weight loss by the grains.

143 **TABLE 1:** Mortality of *Sitophilus zeamais* reared on maize grain

| Treatment             | Dose (g) | Days of Exposure (Mean±SD) |                         |                         |                        | Total                   |
|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|                       |          | 1                          | 2                       | 3                       | 4                      |                         |
| Control               | 0.00     | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  |
| <i>C. citrates</i>    | 1.0      | 0.00±0.82 <sup>a</sup>     | 1.00±0.82 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.25±0.50 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 1.25±1.26 <sup>ab</sup> |
|                       | 1.5      | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.25±0.50 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 0.25±0.50 <sup>a</sup>  |
|                       | 2.0      | 1.00±1.15 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.25±0.50 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.50±1.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 1.75±1.71 <sup>ab</sup> |
| <i>A. occidentale</i> | 1.0      | 1.00±1.15 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.50±0.58 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 1.50±1.29 <sup>ab</sup> |
|                       | 1.5      | 1.00±2.00 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.25±0.50 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.50±1.00 <sup>a</sup> | 1.75±2.06 <sup>ab</sup> |
|                       | 2.0      | 0.25±0.50 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.75±0.96 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.25±0.50 <sup>a</sup> | 1.25±0.50 <sup>ab</sup> |
| Rambo                 | 1.0      | 3.50±0.58 <sup>b</sup>     | 4.25±1.26 <sup>b</sup>  | 2.00±0.82 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.25±0.50 <sup>a</sup> | 10.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup> |
|                       | 1.5      | 2.50±1.00 <sup>ab</sup>    | 6.00±1.83 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.25±0.96 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.25±0.50 <sup>a</sup> | 10.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup> |
|                       | 2.0      | 4.00±3.39 <sup>b</sup>     | 4.75±2.63 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.00±0.82 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.25±0.50 <sup>a</sup> | 10.00±0.00 <sup>c</sup> |

144 Values are means of four replicates. Means carrying the same superscript alphabet along the  
145 columns are not significantly different ( $P>0.05$ ).

146

147 **TABLE 2:** Oviposition and F1 progeny emergence of *S. zeamais* reared on maize grain.

| Treatment             | Dose (g) | Parameters (Mean±SD)      |                        |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------|------------------------|
|                       |          | Number of eggs laid       | F1 generation Emerged  |
| Control               | 0.0      | 36.9±10.59 <sup>c</sup>   | 4.50±1.29 <sup>c</sup> |
| <i>C. citrates</i>    | 1.0      | 18.45±14.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.50±0.58 <sup>b</sup> |
|                       | 1.5      | 16.40±20.00 <sup>b</sup>  | 2.00±2.16 <sup>b</sup> |
|                       | 2.0      | 18.45±12.30 <sup>b</sup>  | 2.50±1.29 <sup>b</sup> |
| <i>A. occidentale</i> | 1.0      | 12.30±19.52 <sup>ab</sup> | 2.25±1.26 <sup>b</sup> |
|                       | 1.5      | 18.45±21.57 <sup>b</sup>  | 1.75±2.87 <sup>b</sup> |
|                       | 2.0      | 20.50±4.74 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.50±2.52 <sup>b</sup> |
| Rambo                 | 1.0      | 2.05±4.10 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> |
|                       | 1.5      | 6.15±7.85 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> |
|                       | 2.0      | 2.05±4.10 <sup>a</sup>    | 0.00±0.00 <sup>a</sup> |

148 Values are means of four replicates. Means carrying similar alphabets along the columns are  
149 not significantly different ( $P>0.05$ ).

150

151

152 **TABLE 3:** Damages and weight loss of maize grain.

| Treatment             | Dose (g) | Parameters (Mean±SD)     |                        |
|-----------------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------|
|                       |          | Grain Damage             | Weight Loss (g)        |
| Control               | 0.0      | 22.50±13.69 <sup>b</sup> | 1.00±0.18 <sup>b</sup> |
| <i>C. citrates</i>    | 1.0      | 15.00±6.12 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.28±0.22 <sup>a</sup> |
|                       | 1.5      | 11.25±4.33 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.45±0.25 <sup>a</sup> |
|                       | 2.0      | 11.25±4.33 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.23±0.13 <sup>a</sup> |
| <i>A. occidentale</i> | 1.0      | 18.75±9.68 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.58±0.26 <sup>a</sup> |
|                       | 1.5      | 13.12±3.75 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.58±0.33 <sup>a</sup> |
|                       | 2.0      | 13.12±7.18 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.55±0.13 <sup>a</sup> |
| Rambo                 | 1.0      | 7.50±6.12 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.33±0.10 <sup>a</sup> |
|                       | 1.5      | 5.62±7.18 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.30±0.16 <sup>a</sup> |
|                       | 2.0      | 7.50±6.12 <sup>a</sup>   | 0.25±0.17 <sup>a</sup> |

153 *Values are means of four replicates. Means carrying the same superscript alphabets along the*  
154 *columns are not significantly different (P>0.05).*

## 155 DISCUSSION

156 In this study, two different samples of biopesticides (*Cymbopogon citratus* and  
157 *Anacardium occidentale*) were tested for the insecticidal activities on maize weevils (*Sitophilus*  
158 *zeamais*). All the treatments caused a significant mortality of adult *S. zeamais* than the control  
159 (untreated), where no mortality was recorded throughout the four days of exposure, confirming  
160 the effectiveness of the plant products (biopesticides) on *S. zeamais*. The order of their efficacy  
161 is: Rambo>*A. occidentale*>*C. citratus*. The results of this study corroborate with the findings of  
162 Dike and Mbah [12] where lemon grass products significantly protected cowpea grains in storage  
163 from insect infestation. The powder of *A. occidentale* has been reported as protectant against  
164 different storage insect pests [13]. In a similar study on the efficacy of plant products on stored  
165 maize, Wahedi [7] reported the insecticidal effect of neem seed powder on adult *S. zeamais*  
166 reared on maize grains in Mubi.

167 The biopesticides were able to reduce F1 emergence of *S. zeamais* on maize grain  
168 significantly (P>0.05). *C. citratus* and *A. occidentale* showed some insecticidal activities by  
169 reducing the number of eggs laid as well as F1 adult emergence, when compared with the control  
170 (untreated) experiment. This further confirms the insecticidal properties in the plant products.  
171 This agrees with the study conducted by Mba and Okoronkwo [2] and Wahedi [7] who reported

the efficacy of plant products in reducing egg laying as well as F1 progeny emergence of *S. zeamais*.

Similar result was reported in the grain damage and weight loss ~~by the grain~~. The treatments showed some insecticidal activities by significantly protecting the maize grains from damages and subsequent weight loss possibly as a result of the activities of *S. zeamais*. This also agrees with the study performed by Wakole [14] and Wahedi [7], who reported plant products effectively protected maize grain from damage as well as weight loss.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the overall results have confirmed the effectiveness of *A. occidentale* and *C. citratus* as biopesticides for the control of adult *S. zeamais*. *A. occidentale* performed significantly better than *C. citratus* ~~in based on~~ the various parameters tested.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ibrahim A, Zalkuwi J, Yusuf C. Technical efficiency of Maize production in Mubi North Local Government Area of Adamawa State, Nigeria. Int. J. of Innovative Research and Studies. 2014; 3 (1):58-67.
- [2] Mbah OI, Okoronkwo MO. An assessment of two plant product efficacy for the control of maize weevil (*Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky) in stored maize. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2008; 3(7) 494-498.
- [3] Zalkuwi J, Dia YZ, Dia RZ. "Analysis of Economic Efficiency of Maize Production in Ganye Local Government Area Adamawa state, Nigeria". Nassarawa. 2010; www.sciencepub.net/report
- [4] Arannilewa ST, Odeyemi OO, Adedire CO. Effects of medicinal plant extracts and powder on the maize weevils, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. (Coleopteran: Curculionidae). Annals Agric. Sci. 2002; 3:1-10.
- [5] Okonkwo EU, Okoye WI. The efficacy of four seed powders and essential oils as protectants of cowpea and maize grains infestation by *Callosobruchus maculatus* and *Sitophilus zeamais* in Nigeria. Int. J. Pet. Manag. 1996; 480pp.

- 198 [6] Ibe AC, Nwifo MI. Production of stored maize seeds against maize weevil (*Sitophilus*  
199 *zeamais*) using local plant materials. In salako F.K, Lagoke S.T.O, Aina A.B.J, Enuubetine D,  
200 Dipeolu A.O (eds). Proceedings of the 35th Annual conference. The Agric. Soc. of Nigeria.  
201 2001; 368-370pp.
- 202 [7] Wahedi JA. Laboratory evaluation of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) seed powder and seed oil  
203 for the control of *Sitophilus zeamais* stored maize. Adamawa State University Journal of  
204 Scientific Research. 2012; 2(2): 110-115.
- 205 [8] Adebayo AA, Tukur AL. “Adamawa State in Maps” Paraclete Publisher Yola. 1999; 27-  
206 31pp.
- 207 [9] Arannilewa ST, Ekrakene T, Akinneye JO. Laboratory evaluation of four medicinal plants as  
208 protectants against the maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motsch.). African Journal of  
209 Biotechnology. 2006; (21): 2032-2036.
- 210 [10] Adedire CO, Ladije L. Toxicity and oviposition differences of some plant extracts on  
211 cowpea storage bruchid. *Callosobruchus maculatus*. J. Pl. Dis. Prot. 1999; 106: (6):647-653
- 212 [11] Wahedi JA, Ande AT, Fatoba PO. Biocidal activities of some tropical moss powders against  
213 *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Global Journal of Bio-Science and  
214 Biotechnology. 2013; 2(3): 386-389.
- 215 [12] Dike MC, Mbah OI. Evaluation of lemon grass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) Products in the  
216 control of *Callosobruchus maculatus* on stored cowpea. Nig. J. Pl. Prot. 1992; 4:88-91
- 217 [13] Afzal M, Nasir Z, Bashir MH, Khan BS. Analysis of host plant resistance in some genotypes  
218 of maize against *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Pakistan Journal Botany.  
219 2009; 41:421-428.
- 220 [14] Waktole S. Effect of selected botanicals and local seed storage practices on maize insect  
221 pests and health of maize seeds in Jimma Zone. Singapore Journal of Scientific Research. 2014;  
222 4:19-28.