



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_35622
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ESSENTIAL VITAMINS CONTENTS OF TERMINALIA CATAPPA L. (COMBRETACEAE) ALMONDS CULTIVATED IN CÔTE D'IVOIRE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<u>Reviewer Comments:</u> Research article is well written and contained minor technical or typological errors. Spacing error is also there in some places, kindly go through the manuscript again and correct them. So just correct the suggestions accordingly as given below: In line no 24, 168,169,181,210,217,226,231,236,242,248 used only <i>T. catappa</i> is used instead of <i>Terminalia catappa</i>. You used only one or two time as complete name as <i>Terminalia catappa</i> then use only <i>T. catappa</i> in whole MS. In line no 57 Is it <i>T. cappa</i> or <i>T. catappa</i>??? In line no 78 the unit such as “48 hours” is given but in most of the MS it represents with “h” only and in line no 60 it is given with “h”. Check and correct accordingly. The sub headings of section material and methods will come in 2.1 or 2.2 instead of 2-1 or 2-2 etc. In line no 212 replace the word beta with symbol because you used symbol in whole MS. In line no 233 delete the word 0mg because you already mentioned absent so no need to mention 0mg. In most of the reference you used “and” but in others you used “&” before the last author name. So kindly follow the same format as per journal guidelines. Format of reference no 4 is differ from first three references. In reference no 21 year comes in bracket. In reference no 22 the volume no 3 is not comes in bold letter. Check whole references and their format because there are so many mistakes like difference in author's name, spacing error in years with bracket and other formatting too.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Pardeep Kumar Sadh</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Biotechnology, Ch. Devi Lal University, Sirsa , India</i>