



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_34787
Title of the Manuscript:	Technical sheet of Process of traditional cassava inocula used for attieke productions in south of Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper need to improve. The introduction is also justified and references must be added. Experimental part need to improve and figure 1 is unnecessary . Results and discussion: the obtained values must be validated (experiments should be done) and compared with others references. The English review is extremely indicated.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Juliana Naozuka</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Sao Paulo, Brazil</i>