



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_34738
Title of the Manuscript:	Social factors of derived savanna in northern Edo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In conclusion – the author is suggesting for rubber tree based agro forestry – this is again an climate change or disturbing promotion Hence author need to check from field what suits to minimise carbon foot print	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The cause of the work need to be checked.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Discussion need more references	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nanda, A
Department, University & Country	Department of PG studies and Research in Applied Botany, Kuvempu University, India And Environmental Study Centre Santhekaduru, India