



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_34655
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimum pH value for improving postharvest characteristics and extending vase life of <i>Rosa hybrida</i> cv. Tereasa cut flowers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	*Sentence formation should be checked thoroughly *Do not write in the abbreviated form in the abstract (Abstract line 2). *Font size should be similar (sub headings of the recorded data) *Discard the numberings (recorded data and the results) *author names should be in normal font, not bold	
Minor REVISION comments	*Discard the excess (.) in the result and discussion page 4 paragraph 2. *check the sentence formation in the first line of the 3rd paragraph in the result and discussion part *Page 4, 3rd paragraph, first line and 4th paragraph, 4th line (Increasing solution pH value) please check the sentence formations. (This might explain why the flower kept in solution pH 7.0 had the shorter longevity... can otherwise be written as" the reason might be due to the fact that there is a negative...") *Page 5, 1st line- Carlson [11] proposed. Discard "was". 2nd line, "she"? 5th line, check the sentence formation. *Fresh flower weight 2nd line, "Reaching collecting?" *Page 7, maintain the gap uniformly, chlorophyll not chlorophylls *Page 8, Graphical representation should be aligned uniformly *Page 9 (SOD and Catalase activity) the date? *Manage the Correlation table in a page, should not be fragmented. *Page 11, Conclusion 1st line- was conducted or was	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	concluded? Page 12 (References) Maintain proper spacing and alignment. Use similar fonts uniformly.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Rocky Thokchom</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Floriculture, Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India</i>