SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAAR_34655**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Optimum pH value for improving postharvest characteristics and extending vase life of Rosa hybrida cv. Tereasa cut flowers**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Minor revision is required in References part as per mentioned in journal guidelines. for example, Seid H, Hassen Y**.** Review on the impact of different vase solutions on the postharvest life of rose flower. Inter. J. of Agric. Res. and Rev. 2013; 1(2): 013-017. Zamani S, Kazemi M, Aran M. Postharvest life of cut rose flowers as affected by salicylic acid and glutamin. World Appl. Sci. J. 2011; 12(9):1621-1624.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Lakshman Chandra De***
Department, University & Country	***ICAR-NRC for Orchids, Sikkim, India***