



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_33691
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of weaner rabbits fed toasted sickle pod (Senna occidentalis) seed meal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The Statistical Tools used were just ANOVA and DMRT at 5% and concluded no significant differences. How about testing for equality of variances (like Levene's Test)? Did your data satisfy the Assumptions Underlying the Analysis of Variance? How about the Trend Analysis? to check the effect of the increasing amount of TSSM. On "Table 1. Composition of experimental diets," the amount of Maize and Soybean decreases from 41.01 (T1) to 34.40 (T5) and 20.00 (T1) to 16.60 (T5), respectively. However, the determined analysis (or is it computed analysis?) of Crude protein (CP) varies, specifically T4 with 18.90%. Why? Please state in the paper that the experiment was conducted with permission from your Institutional Ethics Committee.	
Minor REVISION comments	Please check for the English usage.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arnolfo m. Monleon
Department, University & Country	Marinduque State College, Philippines