SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_33203
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of antioxidant, phytochemicals and heavy metals in crude leaf extract in different solvents of
	Castor (Ricinuscommunis)
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:				
FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments			
Author should highlight in the text the answer of the all comments. Lot of my previous comments have no answer.				
Line 2. The Latin name of the plant should be in two separate words and in italic. The same remark in the keywords and across the manuscript				
Line 33, Compounds named (<i>3E, 7Z, 11E</i>)The configuration letters should be in italic Line 35, the same remark for (2R, 4aR) and for the H of 2H.				
Line 33. There is a comma between 7 and 8a				
Author should give a reference for the description of ricinoleic acid from line 44 to line 49				
There are too many paragraphs in the introduction, the author must make transitional sentences and group the paragraphs				
At the end of the introduction, author should relate the real purpose of this study.				
From; line 84 to line 89, this part should be rewritten. Lot of spelling error Example, author should use macerated in the place of soaked, Line 98, there is no space between; m and L of mL and this throughout the text. Line 99, What mean 0.2 between mL and pH Line 101, Author should add < the> between to and mixture Line 103, Author should specify the used blank				
From; page 2 to page 4 the paragraphs and the tables should be separated each other.				
The used method for phyto contents determination is not described while the results are given.				
How the author determined the antioxidant power? Because author should give the efficient and the inhibition concentration before.				
For the all results, Author is only happy to give the description of the results but any discussion of them				
In the references part, There is a non-conformity of the writings.				

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)

CIENCEDOMAIN		
t in different solvents of		
	I	
inal evaluator's	l	
	l	
	l	
	l	
	l	
	l	
	l	
	l	
	l	
	l	
	l	
	l	
	l	

SCIENCEDOMAIN international





SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Example in line 198, the name of the 2 nd , 3 rd and 4 th author is abbreviated while the name of the 1 st one is entirely written. The same remark for most of the references.	
Lake of conformity of the journal names sometime it is abbreviated, sometime entirely written.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cheikh Sall
Department, University & Country	University of Thies. Senegal

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.5 (4th August, 2012)