



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_33203
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of antioxidant, phytochemicals and heavy metals in crude leaf extract in different solvents of Castor ( <i>Ricinuscommunis</i> )
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The text has many spelling errors and a formatting problem. It must be corrected by an English native or an English expert.</p> <p>Line 2. The Latin name of the plant should be in two separate words and in italic. The same remark in the keywords and across the manuscript</p> <p>There is no conclusion in the abstract part</p> <p>Line 33, Compounds named (3E, 7Z, 11E).....The configuration letters should be in italic Line 35, the same remark for (2R, 4aR.....) and for the H of 2H.</p> <p>Line 33. There is a comma between 7 and 8a</p> <p>Author should give a reference for the description of ricinoleic acid from line 44 to line 49</p> <p>There are too many paragraphs in the introduction, the author must make transitional sentences and group the paragraphs</p> <p>At the end of the introduction, author should relate the real purpose of this study.</p> <p>Line 76, Communis and was must be separated. And this throughout the text</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Fro; line 84 to line 89, this part should be rewritten. Lot of spelling error Example, author should use macerated in the place of soaked, Use the marc of the extract in place of residue against soaked Line 87, remove all and write extracts Line 88 use rotavapor Line 98, there is no space between; and L of mL and this throughout the text. Line 99, What mean 0.2 between mL and pH Line 101, Author should add &lt; the&gt; between to and mixture Line 103, Author should specify the used blank Line 111, the volume of 1010cm<sup>3</sup> is too much, author should revised this number.</p> <p>From; page 2 to page 4 the paragraphs and the tables should be separated each other.</p> <p>The used method for phyto contents determination is not described while the results are given.</p> <p>How the author determined the antioxidant power? Because author should give the efficient and the inhibition concentration before.</p> <p>For the all results, Author is only happy to give the description of the results but any discussion of them</p> <p>In the references part, There is a non-conformity of the writings.</p> <p>Example in line 198, the name of the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> author is abbreviated while the name of the 1<sup>st</sup> one is</p>	
--	---	--



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

	entire. The same remark for most of the references.  Lake of conformity of the journal name sometime it is abbreviated, sometime entirely written.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Lot of spelling error. It should be fully corrected	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Cheikh Sall</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>University of Thies, Senegal</i></b>