



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_38471
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of some botanical oils on effectiveness of controlled atmosphere of elevated carbon dioxide on adults of Sitophilus oryzae
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Fig1. It is not clear. Please use a image with high-resolution. Conclusion: Line 272 "...increase in temperature..." I suggested add one more table to compare the difference of the lethal time at different temperature using the same botanical oil. Such as what the difference of lethal time using Cumin between 20 and 30 degree is. Table 1- Table 3 only compared the difference of each botanical oil at the same temperature, which cannot give an evidence for their conclusion.	Fig1. It is replaced by another image may be clearer. Conclusion: The suggestion about the comparison of the lethal time differences at different temperature using the same botanical oil. This is not possible because the concentrations used of the botanical oil are different at 20 than 30 degree as well as the main aim of the study was the combination effectiveness of both the botanical oil and CO ₂ .
Optional/General comments		