



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_38141
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated management of Striga hermonthica on Sorghum
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract Sentence 2: an experiment was conducted, not the experiment was conducted State site and country of study in abstract Use superscript in kg ha⁻¹ in abstract and throughout document, not kg ha-1. Where significance is obtained show F probability Introduction and literature review. Ok no comment Materials and methods Line 65 and 66. Please mention country of study and GPS if possible line 74. Why are we talking about subplots when the design is CRBD. Please clarify line 76 thorough mixing not through mixing Results and discussion Do not discuss non-significant results as if significant. Instead explain lack of significance	Abstract All corrections are made Materials and methods NO GPS Actually they are plots (Corrected as plots). Results and discussion Some non-significant results show high increase or decrease when compared to the control. There for an explanation for this increase or decrease was highlighted.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		