



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_37629
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF MORCHELLA CONICA COLLECTED FROM SWAT VALLEY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. No number for Fig. <i>A Morchella conica</i> 2. Figure 1 should cited in the text before the figure 1 3. There were 9 treatments, but the statistical design was not given 4. There is a sub title 3.1, but cannot find 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0 in the text 5. Repeated data in table 1 and figure 1 6. The paper is a Short Research Article or Short research communication, because data is not sufficient for a Original research paper	Mention the Fig. No below the figure. Revised and corrected. Mentioned in line No. 70 Revised and Corrected Excluded the figure Research Paper
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Revisions has to be done as above comments	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some statements are confusing in most of the places. Use the scientific writing skills for elaborations	Confusing statements removed, discussed precisely and accurately for easy understanding.