



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_37278
Title of the Manuscript:	ACUTE TOXICITY OF Tephrosia vogelli ON THE EARLY LIFE STAGES OF FARMED CLARID (Clarias gariepinus)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The comment is written the end of the document. Please refer it.	Okay.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript described the acute toxicity of Tephrosia vogelli on Clarias gariepinus. The experiments were performed well. So it is worth to be published. However, it is hard to accept as its present form. First of all, the description of experiment methods is little bit ambiguous.	Thanks for the comment on the suitability of the paper for publication. As regards the methodology, I have gone through and made corrections where necessary and highlighted. I have tried to make it as explicit and concise as possible. Any further issue of ambiguity should be clearly spelt out (ie such areas should be clearly stated)