



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_36993
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Tannin-Containing Peanut Skin Supplementation on Drug-resistant Haemonchus contortus Control in Meat Goat
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	some of the blood results are not shown, the results would greatly improve the manuscript if we can see the trend of the blood constituents over the trial period Ethical issues: The Authors did get permission to use the animals in this experiment	1. Revised the M & M for sampling date, 2. Yes, this manuscript has been approved Animal Ethical issues and added this information in the text.
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract Line 15: fecal egg counts (FEC) Line 18: change grew to grow Introduction Line 35: replace the full stop with a comma between <i>viciifolia</i>) and Sulla Line 52.: there is no need for this sentence "The three leading states in peanut production are 52 Texas, Georgia, and Alabama Materials and Methods Line 66: Please state which country is the experiment being conducted. Also state the year of the experiment Line 72: please state the meaning of BW Line 75 : please state meaning of FAMACHA score Line 80: should read 50 days	1. Added FEC 2. Changed to grow 3. Replaced sentence 4. Deleted a sentence .."the three leading states" 5. Added USA and 2016 6. Added BW



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 100: “Dairy One Inc. in the Forage Testing Laboratory”....., reference for this Line 112: reference for the McMaster technique Data processing Line 116-124: in the abstract there is mention of use of GLM, however this is not mentioned here. Line 120-122: this sentence dose not read well, re-phrase RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Line 129-130: The peanut skin (Table 1) and diet (Table 2) chemical nutrient characteristics are shown in 129 Tables 1 and 2. Should read- The peanut skin and diet chemical nutrient characteristics are shown in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. Line 130 to 131 refer to figures in the table 1 which are not there. For example, in text there is 22.7% of protein vs 20% in the table and 32.66% vs 39.7% of NDF. Which figures are the corrects ones? Please recheck your figures. Line 141: Same comment as above, mismatching figures between in text and in Table 2. Line 144 to 145 is repetition of something already mentioned in line 141 to 142. Authors need to re-read their work. Line 181: lespedeza sericea should be written scientifically Line 204 Blood samples were collected on day 0, 21, and 42. Where are the results? Are the results presented on Table 5, means ? Table 1 Meaning of the following abbreviations: NFC, DM, Table 2	7. Added FAMACHA meaning 8. Added “50- days” 9. Added information about Dairy one” 10. Added reference for modified Macmaster technique 11. Added SAS procedure 12. Rewrote the sentence Results and Discussion Make clear sentence in Tables 1 and 2. Figure 1 is there in the text and check it out all the Figure and Tables Added scientific name of lespedeza
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 371: meaning of SEM in Table 2 Line 385: Meaning of CT Figure 1 Y and X-axes titles are missing There is repetition of $P < 0.01$ $P < 0.01$. What is the significance of this..... Line 152. There is no explanation of why a sudden increase in DMI during days 29 and 30. Line 155: sericea lespedeza is a scientific name, hence should be written like one Table 3 What are the units for BW= body weight and ADG Table 4 Where is the superscript 2 used in the table: ²Polynomial contrasts for equally spaced treatments?	Blood sample was collected the end of day-corrected. Table 5. mean value of blood parameters Table 2= added meaning of SEM and CT Figure 1 Added Y and X-axes titles. Corrected P-value Explained continuously increases in DMI and partial explanation in DMI during days 29 and 30 Added scientific name of Sericea lespedeza=<i>Lespedeza cuneata</i> Table 3. Added unit with kg or g bases. Table 4. added superscript
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		