



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_36993
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Tannin-Containing Peanut Skin Supplementation on Drug-resistant Haemonchus contortus Control in Meat Goat
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 72: the animals can't have exact BW of 46.97... is it average? Or how did you balance the weight across the treatments? Line 79 separately in pens Line 117 did you have licence for the software used in the analysis? From your table interpretation and discussion it shows there are significant difference among some parameters but the table shows otherwise.	Corrected to 46.97 ±2.0 kg Changed to "Pens" Our university has a license of SAS Revised the Table and text
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		