SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAAR_36955**
Title of the Manuscript:	**COMPARISON OF VARIANCE COMPONENTS METHODS FOR ONE WAY RANDOM EFFECTS MODEL IN COTTON**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**No comments**	
Minor REVISION comments	No	
Optional/General comments	Genetic advance as percent of mean can be calculated and correlated to broad sense heritability to increase the efficiency of selection	Thanks for your on the comments, the goal in this study compare of variance components methods existing in the simplest case of a one-way random effects model for some quantitative traits in cotton, not estimations of heritability and genetic advance .