



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_36784
Title of the Manuscript:	Field Reactions of Pepper (Capsicum spp.) Lines and Accessions to Anthracnose Disease in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Author must be sure of the design used ---- Randomized complete block design or Completely randomized design Author should be consistent in using either English or botanical name of the disease.	I used Completely Randomized Design for laboratory experiments and Randomized Complete Block Design for field experiments I think it is okay to use both the English (common) and scientific name of the disease.
Optional/General comments	Instead of using vs, author may use 'against' or 'with' Author need to use more scientific terminologies than using ordinary English words. Author should note repetition in Abstract, introduction and literature reviewed.	I have removed 'vs'. To my mind, I have used scientific terminologies as appropriate. The repetition to be noted is not stated. The reviewer should be explicit so the specific repetition should be stated