



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_35861
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Chickpea varieties to different irrigation regimes
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In general, the manuscript is good, it represents scientific relevance. The results are adequate and has important mean to that region. but the manuscript does not present discussion, the authors just presents the results.	Discussion is added.
Minor REVISION comments	- At the abstract i do not understand why the text are in capital letter. - In the 40 line, i think instead of cutamenia should be catamenia.	- In Abstract, text is capital according to journal format. - Corrected
Optional/General comments		