



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_35726
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING GARDEN EGG GENOTYPES FOR SUGARS ACCUMULATION IN VARYING GROWTH CONDITIONS IN GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In this study, sugars accumulation of garden egg genotypes was investigated at two locations in Ghana. The subject and output of this manuscript are interest with "drought resistance". Nevertheless, the author did not emphasis on this subject. I really need to make help and revision advice to take a more citation of its. At least, "Drought Stress" terms can be used in the headline or keyword. The problem was defined the clearly, and relevant with regarding literature on the subject. The method of the study is described clearly. However, there is no name of the analyses methods used in the study. They are represented just as referee number. For scientific quality there is a need minor revision. All analyses methods names should be given clearly in the text.	Drought stress has been added to the keywords. The analysis method has been mentioned in lines, 90-94, 154,155, 162 and 175 The minor revision has been done.
Optional/General comments	The study is original and interesting with results for the researchers who studied on eggplant.	We are grateful to reviewer for complimenting efforts put in the research.