



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_34787
Title of the Manuscript:	Technical sheet of Process of traditional cassava inocula used for attieke productions in south of Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The results reported in the present paper are well established, well known. The authors should write a review article on attieke production the traditional versus commercial method and evaluate technical, microbiological, chemical aspects of this very important traditional food. The food safety aspects of the product should also be evaluated critically.	This is a technical sheet, in the introduction it is necessary to avoid the details. It is in fact like a medicine note if we were in a pharmacy. This is just describe the process of producing an ingredient that is used to make a traditional food that is the attieke.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	