



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_34655
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimum pH value for improving postharvest characteristics and extending vase life of Rosa hybrida cv. Tereasa cut flowers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Minor revision is required in References part as per mentioned in journal guidelines. for example, Seid H, Hassen Y. Review on the impact of different vase solutions on the postharvest life of rose flower. Inter. J. of Agric. Res. and Rev. 2013; 1(2): 013-017. Zamani S, Kazemi M, Aran M. Postharvest life of cut rose flowers as affected by salicylic acid and glutamin. World Appl. Sci. J. 2011; 12(9):1621-1624.	- We done the references according to the journal guidelines.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		