



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_34370
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Cholesterol Reduction on Goat Milk Yoghurt Components by Cyclodextrin from Different Carbon Sources and Bacillus Spp
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

Ys	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Introduction section is tediously long, especially the description of cholesterol. 2. No reference about cyclodextrins (CD) used in this experiment was found (line 83). Besides, the differences and reasons about the effects on cholesterol or nutrition reduction by the three cyclodextrins should be mentioned and discussed more. 3. The conditions of refrigerated storage for 7 days should be mentioned. (line 109-110) 4. Add more description about total cholesterol determination (line 113~114). 5. Simplify the description of crude protein, fat content and reducing sugar. 6. Check and revise the formula in line 135-136, 154-156, and 169. 7. Explain more about the possible reasons about the reduction of total cholesterol and nutrition in whole liquid yoghurt culture, because CD only absorbs them physically, not digest them, even at refrigerated storage. Why it makes the reduction? Does it really remove cholesterol out from the whole culture? 8. Explain why <i>L. brevis</i> (line 105), not <i>L. bulgaricus</i>; pH reached 4.5 (line 107), not 3.6 were used. 9. Update the references] 10. Simplify the subtitles of line 197-199 and line 233-235	I have tried to reduce the length of introduction. The work on cyclodextrin used in this work is under review for publication. The condition for refrigeration was at 4°C. More description on total cholesterol determination was done. The method we used for crude protein, fat and reducing sugar was described. Formulas were revised in line 135-136, 154-156 and 169. Reason for cholesterol and nutrient reduction was explained. <i>L. brevis</i> was used because that was what the yoghurt companies where we consulted were able to provide and pH 4.5 was their standard. Subtitle of line 197-199 and 233-235 was simplified.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Lots of English grammar or minor written errors should be revised. 2. Explain 0.01401 in line 135	done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. If possible, add data of cholesterol content at day 0 at Table 1~3.	Data for day 0 not available