



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_34176
Title of the Manuscript:	Fertility Status of Soils of Morogoro District, and Response of Maize to Applied N , P and S
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors need to state the basis of the treatments more especially for phosphorus (P). Consider, the recommendation of P for Dakawa, Ilonga and Gairo is 20 kg/ha. Why did authors choose to use this rate as the highest rate, when improving the fertilizer recommendation is the main aim of the study?	Phosphorus in the tested soils still not a problem and was confirmed by the soil test results as only 30% of the area was found to have relative low P. Authors decided to reassess the recommended rate if is still working. Yield results revealed that the P recommendations are still working however Requires monitoring with time.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		