



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_34120
Title of the Manuscript:	BREAKING OF SEED COAT DORMANCY IN SOME GENOTYPES OF WEST AFRICAN OKRA (Abelmoschus caillei) [A. Chev. Stevels.]
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 5. In the Department Line 6. The seeds of genotype were Line 10. Space between and plumule Line 10. The results revealed that okra..... Line 12. There were significant improvement..... Line 15. NaCl (not Nacl) Line 15. Add one more keyword – Seed priming Line 18. Okra belongs to the family Malvaceae also known as Line 18. Vegetable (not vegetables) Line 19. Cultivated species are. Line 19. Space before <i>abelmoschus</i> Line 20. Space before (west Africa okra) Line 22. Remove comma after vit. B and also remove is(yellow highlighted before – also rich in protein) Line 24. Add a space before- most vegetables Line 30. Seed hardness Line 40. Space (In okra) Line 61. 100 ml (not mls) Line 62. In each beaker of Line 64. Experiment was laid Line 65 10 ml Line 69 formula (spelling mistake) Line 77. Cut the word obtain and okra before 47-4 Line 79. Space problem in whole line Line 83. NaCl Line 84. Among all the genotypes, four accessions.... Line 86. 82-85% (no need of space)	All corrections are noted and effected accordingly. The spacing on line 79 is customised justification



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 89. 7-13 DAP.... Line 90. Results indicated that..... Line 90. p=0.01 Line 92. 7-13 DAP.... Line 93. p=0.01 Line 98. Seed hardness Line 100. Remove italics of bracket Line 105. write the name of crop in which work was done Line 112. 5-14 (remove space between 5 & 14) Line 120. Write the name of crop in which work was done Line 197. 2001 should not be in italics Line 213. Page no. of publication	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Write serial number as S. No. not as S/No in Table 1-3 Reformat all the references as given in the author guidelines Line 156. 21-25 (space problem in page numbers of publication in all the reference. Remove the space before and after hyphen in page numbers)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There are a lot of references in the manuscript. Try to use only relevant and latest references	