



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_33775
Title of the Manuscript:	Responses of Rhopalosiphumpadi (L.)(Homoptera: Aphididae) on different wheat cultivars under laboratory conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Unfortunately, the discussion is written in poor English with confusing sentences, making the discussion difficult to follow. Furthermore, the entire discussion section is written as just one paragraph. The discussion therefore needs careful work to improve English and readability.	We consider that the manuscript is written in a scientific or technical language, very similar to other papers of this speciality.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Rhopalosiphum padi appears to be written as one word throughout the manuscript. Abstract final sentence – a space is required between R.padi and among. L13 – change 'occurring' to 'occur' L15 – change 'in a world scale' to 'at a world scale' L18 – a word is required after 'sporadic' to explain what you mean. Sporadic distribution, sporadic occurrence etc. L41 – Triticumaestivum is written as one word and not Triticum aestivum. L41 – in wheat producing regions of Argentina L43 – change 'potentially subject' to 'susceptible'. L83 – delete the word 'These'. L105 – change 'the immatures development' to	L13 Blackman and Eastop are English speakers and wrote "occurring" in the same sentence instead of "occurr" as suggested by the reviewer.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	'immature development'. L123 – delete 'a' L143 – the word 'respect' is confusing. Perhaps use either 'with respect to...' or 'compared to...' L190 – Change 'it' to 'life expectancy'. L196 – Change 'the entire' to 'all'. L211-213 – it is not a complete sentence and does not make sense on its own.	
Optional/General comments		