



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_33732
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF F1 HYBRID DEVELOPED THOUGHT SELF-INCOMPATIBILITY MECHANISM IN RADISH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	-It is better to provide environmental status of experiment location in brief, especially temperature and humidity of the cropping season which has significant effect in vegetative and reproductive behaviour of plant in Materials and method. -In Result and discussion heading, Better to present in dendrogram of 57 genotypes which gives clear picture of genetic distance and cluster distance. -Better to mention heterosis analysis techniques in Methodology.	1. Added environmental and reproductive behaviour of plant in materials and method. 2. Heterosis analysis techniques in methodology
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-In materials and methods, it is better to include flower colour, anthesis behaviour, no. of flower etc. -Weakly present of results and lacking of discussion. It is better compared your results with others researchers findings. -Missing of acknowledge which are assist to your research work	Added
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		