

**Inheritance pattern of five yield related characters affecting attributes in F<sub>2</sub> wheat populations**

**ABSTRACT**

Inheritance mechanism for earliness and yield influencing traits in 8 x 8 F<sub>2</sub> half diallel progenies was studied at The University of Agriculture, Peshawar-Pakistan during 2006-07. The experiment was planted in randomized complete block (RCB) design having four replications. Highly significant differences were observed among parental genotypes and their F<sub>2</sub> populations for all traits revealing greater genetic variability and thus allowing for further analysis. According to genetic analysis, Vr/Wr graphs indicated over-dominance type of gene action for all traits among parental genotypes and their F<sub>2</sub> populations. Traits like days to heading, plant height and spike length were controlled by recessive genes while grains per spike and biological yield were under dominant gene control. Distribution of array points along the regression line indicated that wheat cultivars Takbeer and the landrace Khattakwal possessed maximum dominant and recessive genes, respectively for plant height. However, cultivar Iqbal-2000, Ghaznavi-98, Margalla-99 and Tatar-96 possessed maximum dominant genes for days to heading, spike length, grains per spike and biological yield, respectively.

**Keywords:** *Diallel analysis, additive and non-additive gene action, over-dominance, inheritance, Triticum aestivum L.*

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important cereals not only in Pakistan but in most parts of the world. It is a major staple food crop that fulfills nutritional requirement of the population in the form of protein and carbohydrates. Wheat occupies more acreage than any other crop in Pakistan due to its suitability under irrigated as well as rainfed conditions all over the country (PBS-2015-16). Wheat produced in the country fulfills most part of our requirements; however, the increasing population demands further improvement in wheat production (Jadoon *et al*, 2012)

Hayman's genetic analysis is a useful tool which has been widely used by plant breeders for constructing their desirable plant type using available genetic resources (Hayman 1954a, b). It provides useful information regarding nature of gene action and inheritance pattern of a

particular trait that directs the plant breeders to practice selection in earlier generations or delay till advanced generations. Earlier studies revealed the importance of additive as well as non-additive gene action for inheritance of various earliness and yield associated traits among different wheat populations (Kutlu and Olgun, 2015). Additive type of gene action with partial dominance for spikelets per spike was reported by Ivanovska *et al* (2000) while Sangwan and Chaudhary (1999) identified non-additive gene action for grain yield and related traits in wheat. Similarly Singh *et al* (2002) reflected additive gene control for grains per spike, number of tillers per plant and grain yield in wheat. However, Navabi *et al* (2004) reported transgressive segregation among all the F<sub>2</sub> wheat populations and F<sub>5</sub> single seed-decent lines in wheat. Moreover, Jadoon *et al* (2012), Rabbani *et al* (2009) and Akram *et al* (2008) reported significance of both additive and non-additive gene action in inheritance of various yield related traits and delay in selection for improvement of these traits. In light of past review, the present study was designed to investigate the inheritance mechanism involved in earliness and other yield related traits among eight parental genotypes and their F<sub>2</sub> wheat populations.

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **2.1. Breeding material and procedure**

The experimental material consisted of eight wheat cultivars/lines viz. Tatar-96, Wafaq-2001, Takbeer, Iqbal-2000, Margalla-99, Ghaznavi-98, Khattakwal and Inqalab-91, and their 28 F<sub>2</sub> progenies. The experiment was planted in RCBD having four replicates at The University of Agriculture, Peshawar-Pakistan during 2006-07. Each experimental unit consisted of a four row plot of five meter length with a row to row spacing of 30 cm. The Nitrogen (N) and Phosphorus (P) were applied at 120:60 NP kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively in form of Urea and DAP fertilizers. Half dose of Nitrogen and full dose of Phosphorus were applied at the time of seed bed preparation while the remaining half dose of Nitrogen (urea) was applied at the time of first irrigation. Recommended cultural practices [Any reference?] including land preparation, fertilizers, irrigation and weed control were applied **uniformly** as and when required ~~uniformly~~ to all treatments in the experiment to minimize the environmental effects.

### **2.2. Data Collection**

Ten plants from parental cultivars/lines and thirty from F<sub>2</sub> generations were selected at random to collect data on days to heading, plant height, spike length, grains per spike and biological yield. Days to heading were recorded as number of days taken from germination to 50% spike emergence while plant height was recorded from ground level to tip of spike excluding awns. Spike length was measured from base of 1<sup>st</sup> spikelet to tip of last spikelet,

excluding awns. Similarly, grains per spike were recorded as an average of produce of thirty spikes obtained after manual threshing and biological yield by weighing the selected plants from each sub-plot/replication in parental genotypes as well as  $F_2$  populations after harvesting and sun drying.

### 2.3. Statistical analysis:

After compilation, data for all the traits were analyzed using statistical package 'SAS 6.12' following Steel *et al* (1997) to detect ~~see whether~~ significant differences existed among the genotypes. ~~for further analysis.~~ Traits showing significant differences were further subjected to "t<sup>2</sup>" and "regression analysis" for adequacy of additive-dominance model and Hayman (1954 a, b) diallel analysis to study nature of gene action controlling these traits using computer software 'Dial-98' for half diallel  $F_2$  wheat populations following procedures outlined by Morley-Jones (1965).

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance and genotypic differences have been reported by Jadoon *et al* [2012]. The regression analysis to evaluate gene action is hereby presented.

### 3.1. Days to heading

According to Hayman's genetic analysis, Vr-Wr graph reflected involvement of over-dominance for days to heading as the regression line cuts the Vr-axis below the origin (Fig. 1a). Cultivars Iqbal-2000, Wafaq-2001 and Tatara-96 possessed maximum dominant genes being closest to the origin. Ivanovska *et al* (2000), Mishra *et al* (1994b) and Singh *et al* (2003) have reported over-dominance to be responsible for yield related traits in bread wheat population. while Margalla-99 being farthest from origin, possessed maximum number of recessive genes for days to heading. Genotypes Khattakwal and Margalla-99 showed maximum influence of environment for earliness as they were away from the regression line (Fig. 1a). Moreover, non-significant deviation of regression line from unit slope confirmed the absence of non-allelic interactions for days to heading. Comment: This statement on 'absence of non-allelic interactions' is questionable as dominance or recessive genes already confirmed is evidence of intra-allelic interaction. Closeness to linearity may be indication of additivity rather than 'non-allelic interactions' Positive correlation ( $r = 0.49$ ) of Vr+Wr values with parental values reflected the importance of recessive gene for controlling days to heading (Fig. 1b). ~~These results confirmed the earlier findings of Ivanovska *et al* (2000), Mishra *et al* (1994b) and Singh *et al* (2003) who reported over dominance to be responsible for yield related traits in bread wheat population.~~

### 3.2. Plant height (cm)

Vr-Wr graph revealed that over-dominance was mainly responsible for controlling plant height as the regression line cuts the Vr-axis below origin (Fig. 2a). Earlier researcher—(Ivanovska *et al* 2000, Mishra *et al* 1994b and Singh *et al* 2003 } also reported over dominance for quantitative traits in F<sub>2</sub> wheat populations. However, Ali and Khan (1998), Joshi *et al* (2004), Singh *et al* (2002) and Zwart *et al* (2004) reported additive genetic effects for most of the traits. Considerable variation existed among wheat genotypes for plant height as indicated by the distribution of parents along regression line inside the limiting parabola. Wheat cultivars Takbeer, Wafaq-2001, Ghaznavi-98 and Iqbal-2000, being closer to origin, possessed maximum number of dominant genes while Khattakwal possessed maximum recessive genes for plant height. Margalla-99 and Inqalab-91 being in the middle of regression line possessed equal number of dominant and recessive alleles (Fig. 2a). When Vr+Wr values were plotted against the parental values, positive correlation ( $r = 0.74$ ) reflected involvement of recessive genes for plant height (Fig. 2b). Moreover, the position of parental genotypes along the regression line and non-significant deviation of regression line from unit slope confirmed the influence of environmental conditions on plant height and absence of non-allelic interactions for inheritance of this trait. Comment: dominance or recessive gene action, as already mentioned, is a reflection of intra-allelic interaction. Is it therefore correct to claim 'absence of non-allelic interactions'?

### 3.3. Spike length (cm)

Genetic analysis for spike length revealed that distribution of parental cultivars along the regression line confirmed sufficient amount of variation for spike length. The regression line cut the Vr-axis below the origin indicating that over-dominance played important role in the inheritance of spike length (Fig. 3a). Position of array points along the regression line showed that cultivars Ghaznavi-98 and Tatar-96 possessed maximum number of dominant genes while genotypes Margalla-99 and Inqalab-91 possessed maximum recessive genes for spike length. However, cultivars Khattakwal and Iqbal-2000 being in the centre of regression line possessed equal number of dominant and recessive genes. Ivanovska *et al* (2000), Mishra *et al* (1994) and Singh *et al* (2003) also reported similar results in their studies. However, contrary to present findings Khan and Ali (1998) and Singh *et al* (2002) reported additive type of gene action for yield related traits in different wheat populations. Similar type of contradictions in other past studies were reported by Abdel-Sabour *et al* (1996) and Khan *et al* (2009) which could be attributed to residual heterozygosity among the parents. Positive correlation ( $r = 0.868$ ) of Vr + Wr with parental genotypes reflected recessive gene control for spike length (Fig. 3b).

Moreover, non-significant deviation of regression line may be an indication of additive gene action. ~~depicted absence of non-allelic interaction for spike length.~~

### 3.4. Grain spike<sup>-1</sup>

Hayman's genetic architecture revealed that over dominance gene action was mainly responsible for grains per spike as regression line intercepted the Vr-axis well below the origin (Fig. 4a). Majority of wheat cultivars were found in cluster near origin which indicated an excess of dominant genes for grains per spike in all studied cultivars. However, the landrace Khattakwal being farthest from origin exhibited excess of recessive genes for said trait. When Vr + Wr values were plotted against the parental values, negative correlation ( $r = -0.96$ ) was observed which reflected dominant genes control for managing grains per spike (Fig. 4b). Similar results were reported by Khan and Ali (1998), and Sangwan and Chaudhry (1999) in their studies for tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, grains spike<sup>-1</sup> and grain yield. Moreover, absence of non-allelic interactions was evident from significant deviation of regression line from unit slope. – ???Check this statement.

### 3.5. Biological yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Like other parameters, the biological yield in parental genotypes and their F<sub>2</sub> populations was under the control of over-dominance as the regression line cuts Vr-axis below the origin (Fig.5a). Cultivars Tatara-96, Iqbal-2000, Ghaznavi-98 and Wafaq-2001 being closer to origin possessed maximum dominant genes while genotypes Inqalab-91 and Margalla-99 being farthest from origin, possessed large number of recessive genes for biological yield. Moreover, cultivars Tatara-96, Ghazavi-98, Inqalab-91 and Margalla-99 were closer to regression line than Iqbal-2000, Khattakwal, Wafaq-2001 and Takbeer and thus least affected by the environment (Fig.5a). Negative correlation ( $r = -0.39$ ) among parental genotypes and Vr+Wr values suggested that inheritance pattern for this trait was controlled by dominant genes (Fig. 5b). Over-dominance type of gene action for biological yield and grain yield was also reported by earlier researchers like Asif *et al* (2000), Biranvand *et al* (2013) and Pall and Kumar (2009). However, Salehi *et al* (2014) observed that average degree of dominance was less than unity and therefore, suggested partial dominance effects for this trait in wheat genotypes.

## 4. CONCLUSION

Over-dominance was mainly responsible for inheritance of studied traits among F<sub>2</sub> wheat populations which indicated that selection would be least effective for improvement in earlier generations. Wheat cultivars Takbeer, Wafaq-2001, Ghaznavi-98 and Iqbal-2000 possessed

maximum dominant genes for plant height while Iqbal-2000, Wafaq-2001 and Tatara-96 for days to heading. Similarly Tatara-96 and Ghaznavi-98 exhibited maximum dominant genes for spike length, Margalla-99 for grains spike<sup>-1</sup> while Iqbal-2000, Ghaznavi-98, Tatara-96 and Wafaq-2001 for biological yield.




**Fig. 1(a).**




**Fig. 1(b).**

Tat, Waf, Tak, Iqb, Mar, G-98, K-wal and Inq = Tatara-96, Wafaq-2001, Takbeer, Iqbal-2000, Margalla-99, Ghaznavi-98, Khattakwal and Inqalab-91, respectively.

**Fig. 1(a & b). Wr-Vr & Wr+Vr/P graphs for days to heading among F<sub>2</sub> wheat populations at Peshawar**




**Fig. 2(a).**




**Fig. 2(b).**

Tat, Waf, Tak, Iqb, Mar, G-98, K-wal and Inq = Tatara-96, Wafaq-2001, Takbeer, Iqbal-2000, Margalla-99, Ghaznavi-98, Khattakwal and Inqalab-91, respectively.

218 **Fig. 2(a & b). Wr-Vr & Wr+Vr/P graphs for plant height among F<sub>2</sub> wheat populations at Peshawar**  
219




Fig. 3(a).




Fig. 3(b).

Tat, Waf, Tak, Iqb, Mar, G-98, K-wal and Inq = Tatara-96, Wafaq-2001, Takbeer, Iqbal-2000, Margalla-99, Ghaznavi-98, Khattakwal and Inqalab-91, respectively.

Fig. 3(a & b).  $W_r$ - $V_r$  &  $W_r+V_r/P$  graphs for spike length among  $F_2$  wheat populations at Peshawar




Fig. 4(a).




Fig. 4(b).

Tat, Waf, Tak, Iqb, Mar, G-98, K-wal and Inq = Tatara-96, Wafaq-2001, Takbeer, Iqbal-2000, Margalla-99, Ghaznavi-98, Khattakwal and Inqalab-91, respectively.

Fig. 4(a & b).  $W_r$ - $V_r$  &  $W_r+V_r/P$  graphs for grains spike<sup>-1</sup> among  $F_2$  wheat populations at Peshawar




**Fig. 5(a).**




**Fig. 5(b).**

Tat, Waf, Tak, Iqb, Mar, G-98, K-wal and Inq = Tatara-96, Wafaq-2001, Takbeer, Iqbal-2000, Margalla-99, Ghaznavi-98, Khattakwal and Inqalab-91, respectively.

**Fig. 5(a & b). Wr-Vr & Wr+Vr/P graphs for biological yield among F<sub>2</sub> wheat populations at Peshawar**

## 284 REFERENCES

- 285 Abdel-Sabour, M.S., A.M. Hassan, A.A. Abdel-Shafi, H.S. Sherif, and A.A. Hamada. Genetic  
286 analysis of diallel cross in bread wheat under different environment conditions in Egypt.  
287 2. F<sub>2</sub> and parents. Indian J. Genet. Plant Breed. 1996. 56(1): 49-61
- 288 Akram, Z., S.U. Ajmal, M. Munir and G. Shabbir. Genetic determination of yield and related  
289 traits in bread wheat. Sarhad J. Agric. 2008. 24(3): 431-438
- 290 Ali Z., and A.S. Khan. Combining abilities studies of some morpho-physiological traits in bread  
291 wheat (*Triticum aestivum*. L). Pak. J. Agric. Sci. 1998. 35: 1-4
- 292 Asif, M., I. Khaliq and M.A. Chowdhry. Genetic analysis for some metric traits in hexaploid  
293 wheat. Pakistan J. Biol. Sci. 2000. 3(3): 525-527
- 294 Biranvand, H.P., E. Farshadfar and H. Sabakhpour. Gene action of some agronomic characters  
295 in chickpea under stress and non-stress conditions. Asian J. Exp. Biol. Sci. 2013. 4(2):  
296 266-272
- 297 Hayman, B.I. The theory and analysis of diallel crosses. Genet. 1954a. 39: 789-809
- 298 Hayman, B.I. The analysis of variance of diallel crosses. Biomet. 1954b. 10: 235-245
- 299 Ivanovska S., C. Stojkovski, and L. Marinkovic. Inheritance mode and gene effect on spikelets  
300 number per spike in wheat. Macedonia-Agriculture sector Review. 2000. 47(1/2): 1-8
- 301 Jadoon, S.A., F. Mohammad, H. Ullah and I.H. Khalil. Gene action for pre and post-harvest  
302 traits in F<sub>2</sub> wheat populations. Q. Science Connect. 2012. 2-5
- 303 Jones, R.M. Analysis of variance of half diallel table. Heredity. 1965. 20: 117-121.
- 304 Joshi S.K., S.N. Sharma, D.L. Singhanian and R.S. Sain. Combining ability in F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub>  
305 generations of diallel cross in hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell).  
306 Hereditas-Lund. 2004. 141(2): 115-121
- 307 Khan A.S. and Z. Ali. General and specific combining ability estimates for plant height and some  
308 yield components in wheat. Pak. J. Agric. Sci. 1998. 35: 1-4
- 309 Khan N.U., G. Hassan, K.B. Marwat, Farhatullah, M.B. Kumbhar, A. Parveen, U. Aiman, M. Z.  
310 Khan and Z.A. Soomro. Diallel analysis of some quantitative traits in *Gossipium hirsutum*  
311 L. Pakistan J. Bot. 2009. 41(6): 3009-3022
- 312 Kutlu, I. and M. Olgun. Determination of genetic parameters for yield components in bread  
313 wheat. Int. J. Biosci. 2015). 6(12): 61-70
- 314 Mishra P.C., T.B. Singh and D.P. Nema. Combining ability analysis of grain yield and some of  
315 its attributes in bread wheat under late sown condition. *Crop Research Hisar*. 1994.7(3):  
316 413-423
- 317 Navabi. A., R.P. Singh, J.P. Tewari and K.G. Briggs. Inheritance of high level of plant resistance  
318 to stripe rust in five spring wheat genotypes. Crop Sci. 2004. 44(4): 1156-1162.

319 Pall, D. and S. Kumar. Genetic analysis of forage yield and other traits in barley (*H. vulgare* L.).  
320 Barley Genet. Newsletter. 2009. 39: 13-19

321 PBS-2015-16. Pakistan Bureau of Statistics, Government of Pakistan.

322 Rabbani, G., M. Munir, S. U. K. Ajmal, G. Shabbir and A. Mahmood. Inheritance of yield  
323 attributes in bread wheat under irrigated and rainfed conditions. Sarhad J. Agric. 2009.  
324 25(3): 429-439.

325 Salehi, S., S. Gholami, A. Rahmati and A.R. Golparvar. Combining ability of biological yield and  
326 harvest index in diallel cross of wheat cultivars under drought and non-drought stress  
327 conditions. Agric. Conspec. Sci. 2014. 79(4): 221-226

328 Sangwan, V.P. and B.D. Chaudhary. Diallel analysis in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Annals of*  
329 *Biology Ludhiana*. 1999. 15(2): 181-183

330 Singh H., S.N. Sharma, R.S. Sain, and D.L. Singhania. The inheritance of production traits in  
331 bread wheat by diallel analysis. Sabrao J. Breed. Gen. 2003. 35(1): 1-9

332 Singh S.P., L.R. Singh, V.K. Yadav, S. Geeta, R. Kumar, P.B. Singh and G. Singh. Combining  
333 ability analysis for yield traits in bread wheat. (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Prog. Agric. 2002.  
334 2(2): 119-121

335 Steel R.G.D., J.H. Torrie and D.A. Dickey. Principals and procedures of statistics: A Biometrical  
336 Approach. 1997. 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Mc Graw Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, USA

337 Zwart, R.S., J.P. Thompson, and I.D. Godwin. Genetic analysis of resistance to root-lesion  
338 nematode in wheat. Plant Breed. 2004. 123(3): 209-212

339