1

## Effect of Seed Coating Polymer and Micronutrients on Stomatal Conductance and Resistance at Different Growth Stages of Pigeonpea

### ABSTRACT

Aim: The study aims to evaluate the effect of seed coating polymer and micronutrients on stomatal conductance and resistance at different growth stages of pigeonpea.

**Place of Study:** Field experiment was carried out during *kharif* 2014 at Main Agricultural Research Station, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, India.

**Methodology:** A randomised block design was applied to determine the effect of seed coating polymer and micronutrients on stomatal conductance and resistance at different growth stages of pigeonpea. The experiment consisted of 16 different treatments laid out in a randomised block design with three replications. The micronutrients were applied to the seed either individually or in combination as per the studied treatments.

**Results and discussion:** The study result revealed improved physiological parameters due to seed polymerization with micronutrients and foliar spray, there was a significant difference in the seed yield of treated treatments with that of the untreated control. Physiological observations on Stomatal conductance (M mol/m<sup>2</sup>s) and resistance (m<sup>2</sup>s/mol) of five randomly tagged plants were recorded by using leaf porometer (SC-1 porometer, Decagon Devices, Pullman, WA, USA) at 45, 90 and 120 DAS and finally seed yield was recorded, analysed statistically to study the effect of seed coating polymer and micronutrients on stomatal conductance and resistance at different growth stages of pigeonpea. Seed coating with polymer, micronutrients and foliar spray had significant (p<0.05) influence on stomatal conductance, resistance and seed yield of pigeonpea. Stomatal conductance, different significant (p<0.05) influence of significantly due to seed polymerization with micronutrients and foliar spray at all the growth stages

**Conclusion:** Micronutrients *viz.*, zinc, boron and potassium molybdate in combination along with standardized seed coating polymer significantly influenced the physiological parameters *viz.*, stomatal conductance and resistance of leaf thus enhancing the photosynthetic efficiency, finally helping in better establishment of seedlings and higher seed yield.

Keywords: Micronutrients; Pigeonpea, seed polymerization, stomatal conductance and resistance

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

13

14 Pigeonpea [Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp., Family- Fabaceae], is one of the major pulse crops of 15 the tropics and sub-tropics, grown in approximately 50 countries in Asia, Africa and the Americas, 16 mostly as an intercrop with cereals. It is commonly known as pigeonpea, red gram, tur, arhar, tuvarica, Congobean, thogari or gandul in India. Pigeonpea pods are consumed as green vegetable in 17 18 many countries. Dry seeds of pigeonpea are consumed as split dhal. Pigeonpea is also used as a 19 ration for milch cattle. Its straw is also palatable and green leaves may be used as fodder. Sticks of 20 pigeonpea are used for various purposes such as thatch and basket making, etc. Recently its use as 21 a fodder crop has increased. Seed and Fodder contains approx, 20-22% protein. Seeds are rich in 22 Iron, iodine, and essential amino acids like Lycine, Cystine and Arginine [1]. Pigeonpea being a 23 leguminous plant is capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen and thereby restore a lot of nitrogen in the

24 soil. It is well recognized as a valuable source of dietary proteins. In addition to its nutritional value, it 25 also has a unique property of maintaining and restoring soil fertility through biological nitrogen fixation 26 and improvement of physical properties of the soil by virtue of its deep root system. Pigeonpea has 27 several advantages over other leguminous crops for broad-scale agricultural production. These 28 include drought tolerance, logging and shattering resisting which allow the possibility of rationing. 29 Pigeonpea is grown throughout the southern Asia including India, Myanmar, China and Nepal. About 30 95% production of pigeonpea is from south Asia, 90% of which belongs to India. It is also grown in 31 parts of Africa, USA and has recently been introduced in Australia [2]. In India the major pigeon pea 32 growing states are Maharashtra, Uttar Pradesh, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh and 33 Gujarat. Having the wide adaptability to varying agro climatic condition, it is cultivated all over the 34 country with the exception of the areas which are neither excessively wet or experience severe frost 35 [3]. Its deep root system imports resistance to drought. In India, it is the second most important pulse 36 crop after chickpea. India is the largest producer and consumer of pulses in the world. It is cultivated 37 in an area of about 4.49 m ha and production of about 2.92 mt with the productivity of 628 kg/ha. In 38 Karnataka, pigeonpea is grown in an area of 8, 94, 547 ha with the production of 5, 30,065 tonnes 39 with the productivity of 629 kg/ha [3]. It is largely grown in the northern parts of the state. The five 40 major pigeonpea producing districts are Gulbarga, Bijapur, Bidar, Yadgir and Raichur. Pigeonpea 41 contains about 23.6 per cent protein, which is almost three times that of cereals. Pigeonpeas are 42 popular food in developing tropical countries. Nutritious and wholesome, the green seeds (and pods) 43 serve as a vegetable. Ripe seeds are a source of flour, used split (dhal) in soups or eaten with rice.

44 In spite of its nutritional importance, the production of pigeonpea is very low even in the era of 45 the green revolution. In recent years, there has been a significant decline in the pigeonpea production 46 in India, leading to an increasing in price and reduction in per capita availability. The availability of 47 pulses in India is 35 gm/day/capita [4] as against the minimum requirement of 80 gm/day. Among the 48 many factors responsible for the poor productivity of the pigeonpea, inadequate supply of 49 micronutrients in addition to macronutrients is one of them. The deficiency of these micronutrients has 50 been very pronounced under multiple cropping systems due to excess removal by high yielding 51 varieties and hence their exogenous supplies are urgently required.

Micronutrients are very efficient in minute quantities to produce optimum effects. Micronutrients play a 52 53 vital role in enhancing crop productivity. Among micronutrients, the deficiency of Zn is widespread in 54 Indian soils. Mo deficiency, by and large, is associated with acid soils. Intensification of agriculture 55 with high yielding crop varieties, continuous use of high analysis chemical fertilizers, restricted supply 56 of organic manures and negligible crop residue return to the soil led to micronutrient deficiency. While 57 the micronutrients are required relatively in smaller quantities but they are as important as 58 macronutrients. If any of these elements is lacking in the soil or not adequately balanced with other 59 nutrients, growth suppression or even complete inhibition may occur [5]. Micronutrients often act as 60 cofactors in enzyme systems and participate in redox reactions, in addition to having several other 61 vital functions in plants. Most importantly, micronutrients are involved in the key physiological 62 processes of photosynthesis and respiration and their deficiency can impede these vital physiological 63 processes thus limiting yield gain in many crops.

These micronutrients may be supplied to the plants through soil application, foliar spray or seed treatment. Micronutrient application through seed treatment improves the stand establishment, advances phenological events, increases yield and micronutrient contents in grain in most of the crops. In many cases, micronutrient application through seed treatment performed better or similar to other application methods [6, 7]. Being an easy and cost effective method, seed treatment by polymer coating offer an attractive option for resource-poor farmers through its pronounced effect during the early stage of seedling establishment [8]. 71 Stomatal conductance estimates the rate of gas exchange (i.e., carbon dioxide uptake) and 72 transpiration (i.e., water loss) through the leaf stomata as determined by the degree of stomatal 73 aperture (and therefore the physical resistance to the movement of gases between the air and the 74 interior of the leaf). Hence, it is a function of the density, size and degree of opening of the stomata; 75 with more open stomata allowing greater conductance, and consequently indicating that 76 photosynthesis and transpiration rates are potentially higher. The handheld porometer provides rapid 77 measurement of leaf stomatal conductance in irrigated trials, though it is not a recommended 78 measurement under water stress (unless very mild) as the stomata are generally closed [9]. Keeping 79 in view the importance of above facts, the present investigation was carried out to study the effect of 80 seed coating polymer and micronutrients on stomatal conductance and resistance at different growth 81 stages of pigeonpea.

- 82
- 83 84

#### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted in the Main Agricultural Research Station, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, India during *kharif* 2014 in a randomised block design to study the effect of seed coating polymer and micronutrients on stomatal conductance and resistance at different growth stages of pigeonpea. The experiment consisted of 16 different treatments laid out in randomized block design with three replications (Table 1).

90 91

92

#### Table 1. List of treatments showing respective experimental design

SI No.	Treatments	Experimental setup
1	T <sub>1</sub>	Potassium molybdate @ 2g per kg of seed
2	T <sub>2</sub>	Potassium molybdate @ 4g per kg of seed
3	T <sub>3</sub>	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 2g per kg of seed
4	$T_4$	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 4g per kg of seed
5	$T_5$	Boron @ 2g per kg of seed
6	$T_6$	Boron @ 4g per kg of seed
7	T <sub>7</sub>	Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (each @ 2g / kg of seed)
8	T <sub>8</sub>	Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (each @ 4g / kg of seed)
9	Т <sub>9</sub>	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 2g / kg of seed)
10	T <sub>10</sub>	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 4g / kg of seed)
11	T <sub>11</sub>	Potassium molybdate + Boron (each @ 2g / kg of seed)
12	T <sub>12</sub>	Potassium molybdate + Boron (each @ 4g / kg of seed)
13	T <sub>13</sub>	Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 2g / kg of seed)
14	T <sub>14</sub>	Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 4g / kg of seed)
15	T <sub>15</sub>	Only polymer
16	T <sub>16</sub>	Absolute control

93

94 The micronutrients were applied to the seed either individually or in combination as per the 95 above treatments by using 6 ml polymer (Disco Agro DC Red L- 603 procured from Incotec Pvt. Ltd. 96 Ahmedabad, Gujarat) dissolved in 45 ml water per kg of seed in a rotary seed coating machine 97 (Fig.1).





100

#### Fig.1. Pigeonpea seeds coated with polymer and micronutrients

101 The coated seeds were properly dried in shade and sown in three replications with randomised block 102 design with spacing of 90 x 30 cm. In addition to these treatments, two foliar sprays at an interval of 103 10 days during flowering stage (75 and 85 DAS) were applied either individually or in combination as 104 per the treatments (0.5 % + 0.1 % + 0.2% ZnSO4 and potassium molybdate in EDTA form) 105 respectively. The biometric observations on stomatal conductance and resistance parameters were 106 recorded at three stages of the plant growth viz., vegetative (45 DAS), flowering (90 DAS) and pod 107 filling (120 DAS) stages. For recording such observations, five plants at random from net plot area 108 were selected and tagged in each plot. Leaf stomatal conductance and resistance was measured by 109 using leaf porometer (SC-1 porometer, Decagon Devices, Pullman, WA, USA) (Fig. 2) and seed yield 110 per hectare was recorded at harvest.

#### 111 Statistical analysis

112 The statistical analysis was done as per the procedure described by Panse & Sukhatme [10].

113



114

Fig. 2. Leaf Porometer (SC-1 porometer, Decagon Devices, Pullman, WA, USA) used during the
 experiment

117 118 119

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

120 The stomatatal conductance is the measure of the rate of passage of carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ 121 entering, or water vapour exiting through the stomata of a leaf. Stomata are small pores on the top 122 and or bottom of a leaf that are responsible for taking in and expelling CO<sub>2</sub> and moisture from and to 123 the outside air. The rate of stomatal conductance, or its inverse, stomatal resistance, is directly related 124 to the boundary layer resistance of the leaf and the absolute concentration gradient of water vapour 125 from the leaf to the atmosphere. It is under direct biological control of the leaf through the use of 126 guard cells, which surround the stomatal pore [11]. The turgor pressure and osmotic potential of 127 guard cells is directly related to the stomatal conductance [12]. Stomatal conductance is a function of 128 stomatal density, stomatal aperture, and stomatal size [13]. Stomatal conductance is integral to leaf 129 level calculations of transpiration. Stomatal conductance is a measure of the degree of stomatal 130 opening and can be used as an indicator of plant water status. Stomatal conductance is related to leaf 131 water potential by feedback processes. Reductions in stomatal conductance prevent further 132 decreases in water potential by reducing transpiration; also, reductions in water potential can induce 133 stomatal closure, resulting in lowered stomatal conductance. Stomatal conductance can be measured 134 with both dynamic and steady-state diffusion porometers. Seed coating with polymer, micronutrients 135 and foliar spray had significant (p<0.05) influence on stomatal conductance, resistance and seed yield 136 of pigeonpea.

137 Stomatal conductance differed significantly due to seed polymerization with micronutrients and foliar spray at all the growth stages (Table 2). The treatment T<sub>13</sub> recorded significantly highest 138 stomatal conductance (568.6 M mol/m<sup>2</sup>s, 891.6 M mol/m<sup>2</sup>s and 860.7 M mol/m<sup>2</sup>s) at 45, 90 and 120 139 DAS, respectively. It was followed by T<sub>14</sub> (542.7 M mol/m<sup>2</sup>s) at 45 DAS. Similar trend was observed at 140 141 90 DAS (878.2 M mol/m<sup>2</sup>s) and 120 DAS (847.2 M mol/m<sup>2</sup>s). However, control (T<sub>16</sub>) recorded the 142 lowest stomatal conductance (343.3 M mol/m2s, 649.6 M mol/m2s and 613.3 M mol/m2s) at 45 DAS, 143 90 DAS and 120 DAS, respectively. Significantly lowest stomatal resistance (2.28 m<sup>2</sup>s/mol, 2.17 144 m<sup>2</sup>s/mol and 2.21 m<sup>2</sup>s/mol) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>13</sub> at 45, 90 and 120 DAS, respectively (Table 3). However,  $T_{13}$  was followed by  $T_{14}$  (2.50 m<sup>2</sup>s/mol, 2.37 m<sup>2</sup>s/mol and 2.49 m<sup>2</sup>s/mol) at 45, 90 145 and 120 DAS, respectively. Whereas, control (T<sub>16</sub>) recorded highest stomatal resistance (3.81 146 147 m<sup>2</sup>s/mol, 3.11 m<sup>2</sup>s/mol and 3.62 m<sup>2</sup>s/mol) at 45 DAS, 90 DAS and 120 DAS, respectively.

148 The significant increase in stomatal conductance might be attributed to the physiological 149 functions of zinc and  $K^+$  ion in the opening and closing of the stomata which in turn affected the CO<sub>2</sub> 150 uptake and transpiration losses. [14] reported a significant decrease in stomatal conductance in Zn-151 deficient 'Stuart' pecan (Carya illinoensis) leaves. However, molybdenum in combination with zinc 152 also contributed to the higher stomatal conductance. It might be due to the role of molybdenum in  $N_2$ 153 fixation, it indicates that nitrogen helps in reducing the resistance of stomata in leaf. Since, the 154 nitrogen supplied plants have wide stomatal aperture than the nitrogen deficient plants. These results 155 were similar to that of [15] who concluded that leaf resistance decreased from lower (40 kg N/ha) to 156 higher level (120 kg N/ha) of N fertilisation in wheat and [16] in Kenaf (Hibiscus cannabinus L.) plant. [17] studied biofertilizers and zinc effects on some physiological parameters of triticale under water 157 158 limitation condition and the results of measurement of stomatal conductance showed that the stomatal 159 conductance decreased under water limitation. Further under severe water limitation, application of 160 bio fertilizers as F<sub>3</sub> and nano zinc oxide as Zn<sub>3</sub> increase stomatal conductance about 34.6% in 161 flowering stage, 42.1% in heading stage and 35.4% in grain filling stage in comparison with  $F_0$  and 162 Zn<sub>0</sub> in the same water-limitation level. [18] also reported an involvement of Zn in stomatal opening, 163 possibly as a constituent of the enzyme CA and/or as a factor in maintaining membrane integrity and 164 K<sup>+</sup> uptake.

As a result of improved physiological parameters due to seed polymerization with micronutrients and foliar spray there was a significant difference in the seed yield of treated treatments with that of the untreated control. The treatment  $T_{13}$  [Potassium molybdate + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + boron (each @ 2g / kg seed)] along with two foliar sprays of potassium molybdate (0.1%) + zinc sulphate (0.5%) in EDTA form + borax (0.2%) produced higher seed yield per hectare (16.30 q) which was found to be superior over all the treatments. Whereas, the lowest seed yield per hectare (13.86 q/ha) was recorded in the control ( $T_{16}$ ).

172

173

# Table 2. Influence of seed polymerization with micronutrients and foliar spray on stomatal conductance (M mol/m<sup>2</sup>s) at different growth stages of pigeonpea

<b>T</b>		Stomatal conductance (M mol/m <sup>2</sup> s)		
Treatments	45 DAYS	90 DAYS	120 DAYS	(q)/ha
T <sub>1</sub> : Potassium molybdate @ 2g per kg of seed	419.3	754.5	721.8	14.20
T <sub>2</sub> : Potassium molybdate @ 4g per kg of seed	445.7	784.2	754.6	14.46
T <sub>3</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 2g per kg of seed	388.5	678.2	648.6	14.10
T <sub>4</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 4g per kg of seed	422.9	763.9	733.3	14.15
T <sub>5</sub> : Boron @ 2g per kg of seed	409.7	712.2	681.6	14.10
T <sub>6</sub> : Boron @ 4g per kg of seed	443.6	783.4	751.3	14.30
T <sub>7</sub> : Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (each @ 2g / kg of seed)	478.3	846.6	789.2	14.95
T <sub>8</sub> : Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (each @ 4g / kg of seed)	476.8	814.3	786.0	14.92
T <sub>9</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 2g / kg of seed)	474.1	812.9	782.4	14.86
T <sub>10</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 4g / kg of seed)	472.1	810.7	780.1	14.20
T <sub>11</sub> : Potassium molybdate + Boron (each @ 2g / kg of seed)	536.6	872.9	841.7	15.17
T <sub>12</sub> : Potassium molybdate + Boron (each @ 4g / kg of seed)	531.4	869.0	839.2	15.06
T <sub>13</sub> : Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 2g / kg of	568.6	891.6	860.7	16.30

seed)				
T <sub>14</sub> : Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 4g / kg of seed)	542.7	878.2	847.2	15.20
T <sub>15</sub> : Only polymer	372.5	665.5	635.1	14.03
T <sub>16</sub> : Absolute Control	343.3	649.6	613.3	13.86
Mean	457.9	784.4	754.2	14.62
S.Em.±	1.16	1.19	1.10	0.14
CD (P = 0.05)	3.39	3.45	3.19	0.40

176 It can be correlated that with enhanced stomatal conductance wherein, the uptake of carbon 177 dioxide might be more leading to higher production of carbohydrates and thereby translocation of 178 these metabolites to root nodules. Due to enhancement of root nodules nitrogen assimilation might be 179 higher thereby led to increased number of branches which might be due to translocation of 180 metabolites and carbohydrates from photosynthetically active leaf from branches to the developing 181 pod and in turn accumulation of these carbohydrates in seed resulted in increased test weight, which 182 finally increased the seed yield/hectare.

183

#### Table 3. Influence of seed polymerization with micronutrients and foliar spray on stomatal resistance (m<sup>2</sup>s/mol) at different growth stages pigeonpea

Treatments		stomatal resistance (m²s/mol))		
Treatments	45 DAYS	90 DAYS	120 DAYS	(q)/ha
T1: Potassium molybdate @ 2g per kg of seed	3.45	3.03	3.11	14.20
T2: Potassium molybdate @ 4g per kg of seed	3.02	2.86	2.98	14.46
T <sub>3</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 2g per kg of seed	3.68	3.00	3.11	14.10
T <sub>4</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> @ 4g per kg of seed	3.42	2.96	3.04	14.15
T <sub>5</sub> : Boron @ 2g per kg of seed	3.65	3.00	3.09	14.10
T <sub>6</sub> : Boron @ 4g per kg of seed	3.38	2.93	2.99	14.30
T <sub>7</sub> : Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (each @ 2g / kg of seed)	2.64	2.51	2.57	14.95
T <sub>8</sub> : Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (each @ 4g / kg of seed)	2.71	2.60	2.66	14.92
T <sub>9</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 2g / kg of seed)	2.75	2.68	2.71	14.86
T <sub>10</sub> : ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 4g / kg of seed)	2.98	2.75	2.86	14.20
T <sub>11</sub> : Potassium molybdate + Boron (each @ 2g / kg of seed)	2.54	2.48	2.51	15.17
T <sub>12</sub> : Potassium molybdate + Boron (each @ 4g / kg of seed)	2.54	2.39	2.46	15.06
T <sub>13</sub> : Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 2g / kg of seed)	2.28	2.17	2.21	16.30
T <sub>14</sub> : Potassium molybdate + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + Boron (each @ 4g / kg of seed)	2.50	2.37	2.49	15.20
T <sub>15</sub> : Only polymer	3.76	3.11	3.56	14.03
T <sub>16</sub> : Absolute Control	3.81	3.11	3.62	13.86
Mean	3.07	2.75	2.87	14.62
S.Em.±	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.14

CD (P = 0.05)	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.40
---------------	------	------	------	------

.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Micronutrients viz., zinc, boron and potassium molybdate in combination along with standardized seed coating polymer significantly influenced the physiological parameters viz., stomatal conductance and resistance of leaf thus enhancing the photosynthetic efficiency, finally helping in better establishment of seedlings and higher seed yield. The significant increase in stomatal conductance might be attributed to the physiological functions of zinc and  $K^+$  ion in the opening and closing of the stomata which in turn affected the CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and transpiration losses. Seed polymerization of pigeonpea seeds with the combination of micronutrients (potassium molybdate + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + boron each at 2g per kg of seed) with two foliar sprays at an interval of 10 days during flowering stage found to be optimum dose for pigeonpea cultivation during kharif season.

- 5. REFERENCES
- 1. Sharma, K. K., Sreelatha, G., & Dayal, S. (N.D.). (2006). Pigeonpea (Cajanus Cajan L. Millsp.). Agrobacterium Protocols, 359–368. Doi:10.1385/1-59745-130-4:359.
- Handiganoor, M. G., Patil, S. B., & Vasudevan, S. N. (2017). Response of Pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan L.) to Seed Polymerization with Micronutrients and Foliar Spray at Different Growth Stages, British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, 7(4), 205-213.
- 3. Anonymous, *Agricultural Statistics at a Glance.* Directorate of Economics and Statistics, New Delhi. 2013.
- 4. Directorate of Economics and Statistics. data retrieved from https://data.gov.in. 1998.
- 5. Mengel K, Kirkby EA, Kosegarten H, Appel T. Principles of Plant Nutrition. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Netherlands. 2001;13: 54-61.
- Singh B, Natesan S. K, A, Singh B. K, Usha K. Improving zinc efficiency of cereals under zinc deficiency. *Current Sci.* 2003;88: 36-14.
- Dinesh Chandra R, Effect of biofertilizer, zinc, boron and molybdenum on nodulation,
   growth and yield of soybean. M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Bangladesh Agricu. Univ.
   Mymensingh (Bangladesh); 2014.
  - Johnson S. E, Lauren J. G, Welch R. M., Duxbury J. M. A comparison of the effects of micronutrient seed priming and soil fertilization on the mineral nutrition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L), lentil (*Lens culinaris*), rice (*Oryza sativa*), wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in Nepal. *Experimental Agriculture*. 2005;41: 427-448.
  - 9. Shinde, P., & Vasudevan, S. D. S. (2016). Influence of seed polymer coating with micronutrients and foliar spray on seed yield of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). *Legume Research-An International Journal*, 40(4), 704-709.
    - 10. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR-New Delhi (India).1985;167-174.
    - 11. Taiz/Zeiger. *Plant Physiology*. Redwood City, CA: The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc. pp. 92–95. ISBN 0-8053-0245-X. 1991.

238 239 240 241	<ol> <li>Buckley, Thomas (September 2013). Modelling Stomatal Conductance in Response to Environmental Factors. <i>Plant, Cell &amp; Environment.</i> 2013;36(9): 1691- 1699. <u>doi</u>:10.1111/pce.12140</li> </ol>
242 243 244 245	13. Ziegler, Farquhar, Cowan, Eduardo, G.D., I.R. (1987). Stomatal Function. Stanford, California: Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University. p. 29. Retrieved 11 March 2016.
246 247	4. Hu H, Sparks D. Zinc deficiency inhibits chlorophyll synthesis and gas exchange in 'Stuart' pecan ( <i>Carya illinoensis</i> ). <i>Hort. Sci.</i> 1991;26: 267-268.
248 249	5. Fois S, Motzo R, Giunta F. The effect of nitrogenous fertilizer application on leaf traits in durum wheat in relation to grain yield and development. <i>Field Crop. Res.</i> 2009;110: 69–75.
250 251 252	<ol> <li>Hossain M. D., Musa M. H. Talib J, Jol H. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on kenaf (<i>Hibiscus cannabinus</i> L.) growth and photosynthesis under nutrient solution. <i>J.</i> <i>Agric., Sci.</i> 2010; 2(2): 49-57.</li> </ol>
253 254 255 256 257 258 259	<ol> <li>Younes Kheirizadeh Arough, Raouf Seyed Sharifi, Reza Seyed Sharifi. Bio fertilizers and zinc effects on some physiological parameters of triticale under waterlimitation condition. <i>Journal of Plant Interactions.</i> ISSN: 1742-9145 (Print) 1742-9153 (Online) retrieved from <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tjpi20</u>.Parma Nand Sharmal, Alka Tripathi, Sher Singh Bisht. Zinc Requirement for Stomatal Opening in Cauliflower. <i>Plant Physiol.</i> 1995;107: 751-756.</li> <li>Parma Nand Sharmal, Alka Tripathi, Sher Singh Bisht. Zinc Requirement for Stomatal Opening in Cauliflower. <i>Plant Physiol.</i> 2007; 751-756.</li> </ol>
260	
261	