

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_45132	
Title of the Manuscript:	Simple and rapid extraction method for determination of carotenoids in the edible parts of Vitis vinifera, Vaccinum sect. Capsicum annum	
Type of the Article	Original Research Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The article in general is good. Clear ideas and objectives. Material and methods are well explained. Results are presented clearly, however, they could be more discussed and explained.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed
		highlight that part in the manus
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manel Mekni
Department, University & Country	University of Monastir, Tunisia

ct. cyanococcus, Ipomoea batatas and

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and suscript. It is mandatory that authors should write