SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_44629
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS of SOLAR RADIATION CHARACTERISTICS by USING INSOLATION MODELS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	ABSTRACT: There is need to briefly include the methodology, results and perhaps the contribution to knowledge in the abstract. INTRODUCTION: This is unnecessarily too long (almost 6 pages). The literature review	
	should be reduced with the research gap clearly identified. RESULTS: The results of the models should be validated by comparing it with the real values of the solar radiation of the cities.	
Minor REVISION comments	There is to discuss explicitly on the methodology and the results.	
Optional/General comments	Satisfactory	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bankole Adebanji
Department, University & Country	Ekiti State University, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)