1 Original Research Article

2

3 Simple and rapid extraction method for determination of carotenoids in the edible parts of

4 Vitis vinifera, Vaccinum sect. cyanococcus, Ipomoea batatas and Capsicum annum

5

ABSTRACT

6 Fruits and vegetables are rich source of carotenoids. The aim of this study was to find out the 7 rapid and simple extraction method for carotenoids in grapes, blueberries, sweet potato and green chilli using HPLC analysis. Four different extraction methods; MeOH:DCM [methanol: 8 dichloromethane], MeOH:DCM exhausted, MeOH simple and hexane exhausted were evaluated 9 10 for the determination of carotenoids. Among them, MeOH: DCM has yielded higher in all 11 carotenoids concentrations than the other three methods. Using the MeOH: DCM method, lutein was found predominantly in green chilli (12.8 μ g g⁻¹) followed by blueberries, sweet potato and 12 grapes. Consequently, β -carotene was rich in sweet potato (69.2 µg g⁻¹). Intake of 100g sweet 13 potato can provide 96.1 % RDA of vitamin A for 9-13 year males and females and 75% RDA for 14 pregnant women. The result of this study could be useful in future pharmacological and 15 nutraceutical research. 16

17 Key words: Lutein; β -carotene; violaxanthin; zeaxanthin; HPLC

18 1. INTRODUCTION

Fruits and vegetables are some of the excellent sources of minerals and carotenoids. Among the carotenoids, only lutein and zeaxanthin are accumulated in macula of the retina and these are collectively referred to as macular pigment [1]. Consumption of carotenoids rich in fruits and vegetables help to protect against Age related macular degeneration (ARMD) [2],

cataracts and cardiovascular diseases [3]. Deficiency of Vitamin A is a major health problem in
children and adults from India. However, β-carotene act as precursor for Vitamin A and helps
protect night blindness, xerophthalmia, corneal ulceration and vision disability [4].

Grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.), blueberries (Vaccinium sect. Cvanococcus Rydb.) and 26 sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L.) are rich in phytochemicals including flavonoids. Green chilli 27 (Capsicum annum L.) is an immature fruit, contains vitamin A, and C, quercetin, luteolin and 28 capsaicinoids [5]. Isolation and determination of carotenoids through high performance liquid 29 30 chromatography (HPLC) equipped with diode array detector (DAD) is a sensitive, reliable and accurate method. The aim of this study was to quantify and discover nutritionally important 31 carotenoids concentration in edible parts of grapes, blueberries, sweet potato and green chilli. 32 The results of the study will be useful for identification of new sources of bioactive carotenoids 33 from vegetables, fruits and tubers. 34

35 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

36 2.1. General experimental procedures

37 Two fruits (grapes and blueberries) and one vegetable (green chilli) as well as one tuber (sweet potato) were collected during the year 2017, from local supermarket and vegetable market 38 39 in Kumbakonam, Tamil Nadu, India. The samples was identified and authenticated from Department of Agricultural Botany, PRIST University, School of Agriculture, (Thanjavur, Tamil 40 Nadu, India). The details of botanical name, common name, family and edible part tested in each 41 species are presented (Supplementary Table S2; online only). All the samples were cleaned 42 before they were used and analyzed in triplicate for carotenoids concentration through HPLC. 43 All of the sample extraction and purification procedures were carried out under dim yellow light 44 conditions at room temperature of 20°C to protect the carotenoids from degradation through the 45

46 process of photo-oxidation [6]. The edible portion of each fresh fruits and vegetables (50g) was 47 ground well (particle size \sim 50 µm) separately in a blender.

48 **2.2. Extraction methods**

49 **2.2.1. MeOH: DCM method**

This method is little modified method of our earlier study of evaluation of carotenoids in 50 dried seed samples of pea and chickpea [7]. MeOH and DCM extraction solvent (v/v; 1:1) were 51 initially premixed with an antioxidant 0.1% butylated hydroxyl toluene (BHT) and added at the 52 rate of 5 ml for 1 g of sample tissue in the 15 ml Pyrex tubes. Samples were vortexed gently 53 followed by shaking at 200 rpm for 1 h and then 750 µl extract was treated with 750 µl of diluent 54 55 100% acetonitrile to remove proteins and some lipids and centrifuged at 10,000g for 5 min. Subsequently, the supernatant was filtered with 0.2 µm membrane filter, placed in 2 ml amber 56 glass vials and analyzed through HPLC. 57

58 2.2.2. MeOH:DCM exhausted method

This method is unpublished, and it's similar to the method of [8] but it has fewer 59 modifications. The MeOH and DCM extraction solvent (v/v; 1:1) were initially premixed with an 60 antioxidant 0.1% BHT and added at the rate of 10 ml in 5 g of sample tissue in the 15 ml Pyrex 61 tubes, then vortexed gently. Later, soaked samples were kept at 4°C for 16 h followed by 62 shaking at 150 rpm for 1 h and vortex again and let rest for 10 min. The supernatant off settled 63 sample was decanted, pour the extract and place in to 25 ml beaker then purged with nitrogen gas 64 until complete dry. The dry sample was dissolved with 1 ml of extraction solvent. Subsequently, 65 the content was shaked it gently followed by 1ml of sample was centrifuged using 2 ml 66

eppendorf tube at 11,000 rpm for 5 min. Supernatant was filtered with 0.2 μm membrane filter,
filtrate was analyzed by HPLC.

69 **2.2.3. MeOH simple method**

This is a little modified method of [9], 100% methanol solvent was initially premixed with an antioxidant 0.1% BHT and added at the rate of 10 ml in 5 g of sample tissue in the 15 ml Pyrex tubes. Samples were vortexed followed and then kept at 4 $^{\circ}$ C for 16 h, later shaked at 200 rpm for 1 h and vortexed again and let rest for 5 min. Pipet as 1.5 ml of extraction solvent and centrifuged at 11,000 rpm for 5 min. Subsequently, the supernatant was filtered with 0.2 μ m membrane filter and filtrate used for HPLC analysis.

76

2.2.4. Hexane exhausted method

77 It is a modified method of [10]. In this method, 100% hexane was initially premixed with 0.1% BHT and it was added at the rate of 10 ml in 5 g of sample tissue and vortexed gently. The 78 sample was kept at 4 °C for 16 h, followed by shaking 150 rpm for 1 h. Then the sample was 79 80 smoothly vortexed and let rest for 10 min. The supernatant of extract was poured and placed into 25 ml beaker and purged with nitrogen gas until complete dry and later the dry sample was 81 dissolved with 1 ml of extraction solvent, and then centrifuged at 10,000g for 5 min. 82 83 Subsequently, the supernatant was filtered with 0.2 µm membrane filter and filtrate was analyzed through HPLC. 84

85 2.3. Reagents and calibration of standards

All chemicals and organic solvents used in the study were of HPLC grade (Sigma-Aldrich, Mumbai, India) unless otherwise noted. Reference compounds of authenticated carotenoid standards of violaxanthin, lutein, zeaxanthin, and β -carotene (Sigma-Aldrich) were

used to construct linear standard curves by injecting in the range of 4-80 μ g [11]. Carotenoids standards were isolated and purified in our lab with individual purity not less than 98% (HPLC assay, UV/Vis detection). The reference chemical compounds were weighed to 0.1 mg and all the reference stock solutions were stored at -80°C. Diluted working solutions were prepared freshly for each HPLC analysis.

94 2.4. Separation of carotenoids

95 Chromatography was performed using the HPLC system (Agilent 1100 serial) equipped 96 with diode array detector (DAD). Carotenoids separation was done on YMC Carotenoid C30 97 carotenoid column (3 μ m, 4.6 × 250 mm), preceded by a C30 guard column were used at 24°C. 98 The extracts were eluted with 40 min isocratic elution (58:22:20, CH₃CN:CH₃OH:CH₂Cl₂) at the 99 flow rate of 0.8 ml/min, to separate the compounds in the extracts, and injection volume was 10 100 μ l/sample [11]. All individual carotenoids peaks were detected at 450 nm [6]. All carotenoids 101 identified by UV-Vis were compared with their retention time with the authentic standards [12].

102 **2.5. Statistical analysis**

103 Results of each carotenoid concentration were converted to $\mu g g^{-1}$ fresh weight. Total 104 carotenoid concentrations were calculated as the sum of the mean values of four individual 105 carotenoids. Mean comparison for individual and total carotenoids across the four species was 106 done using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at the 0.05 significance level using statistical 107 software SAS 9.4 version for windows [13].

108 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

109 **3.1. Range of linearity and accuracy**

The standards of carotenoids (violaxanthin, lutein, zeaxanthin and β-carotene) and their 110 molecular structure, molar mass (g/mol) and purity percentage were presented (Supplementary 111 Table 1: Figure S1, online only). The linearity was investigated for authenticated standards of 112 113 four carotenoids through blotting the peaks against the injected volume that resulted in good correlation of linearity. Retention time (RT), linear regression (LR) equation and correlation 114 coefficient (CC) determined from the standards are summarized (Table 1). The precision of 115 analytical method was examined through at least triplicate the analysis of each sample. The 116 accuracy of the extraction method was assessed by determination of recovery of all the 117 carotenoids of violaxanthin, lutein, zeaxanthin and β -carotene with mean value of 99.5, 99.9, 118 99.0, and 99.5 % being attained, respectively. The intra-day and inter - day relative standard 119 deviation (RSD) for standard concentrations were 0.60 - 2.20% and 1.12 - 3.10% respectively, 120 validating that a high reproducibility was achieved through using this method. All the 121 carotenoids standard peaks were detected at 450 nm [6]. 122

123

3.2. Identification of carotenoids

Two fruits, one tuber and a vegetable species were extracted by four different modified method of MeOH: DCM [7], MeOH: DCM exhausted [8], MeOH simple [9] and Hexane exhausted [10], was used for identification of and carotenoids. Among the extraction methods, MeOH: DCM recorded higher carotenoids concentration than other three methods for all four species (Table 2). Of the carotenoids, β -carotene was the prime component, followed by lutein, violaxanthin and zeaxanthin.

130 **3.3. Determination of carotenoids concentration**

131 Mean concentration of carotenoids was significantly differed within four species (Table132 2). Lutein was previously reported as the major source of carotenoids in several vegetables

including green chilli [8], wheat [6], pea and chickpea [11]. In the present study, it was confirmed that lutein was the major component in green chilli (12.8 μ g g⁻¹), blueberries (2.3 μ g g⁻¹) and grapes (0.5 μ g g⁻¹). However, β -carotene concentration was predominant in sweet potato. Of the four species, zeaxanthin was present in green chilli and other species, the concentration of which was found to below deductable limit (0.5 ng). Violaxanthin was ranged from 0.1 μ g g⁻¹ to 3.5 μ g g⁻¹ (Table 2).

Among the four species studied, β -carotene concentration was greater in sweet potato 139 (69.2 μ g g⁻¹), followed by green chilli (3.3 μ g g⁻¹), blueberries (0.5 μ g g⁻¹) and grapes (0.2 μ g g⁻¹) 140 ¹). The present study revealed that β -carotene concentrations of sweet potato was within the 141 range of 14 sweet potato cultivars (53.2 to 84.3 μ g g⁻¹) [14] and 43 fold richer than in endosperm 142 of golden rice (1.6 μ g g⁻¹) [15] and 10 fold higher β -carotene in sweet potato [16]. Hence, this 143 study suggests that MeOH: DCM method could be reliable, simple and rapid determination of 144 estimation β -carotene and carotenoids in fruits, vegetables and tubers. Additionally, the present 145 study recommends that the consumption of sweet potato could address the prevention of vitamin 146 A malnutrition deficiency in people from India, Africa and other developing countries. A typical 147 chromatogram of the carotenoids profile of sweet potato and green chilli are presented (Figure 148 1). 149

The recent epidemiological studies have shown that consumption of high carotenoid containing foods are associated with reduction of oxidative stress and helps protect cardiovascular diseases [17], ARMD and cataracts [18]. Total carotenoid was calculated as the sum of four individual carotenoids and was ranged from 0.7 μ g g⁻¹ to 70.1 μ g g⁻¹. Total carotenoids concentration was greatest in sweet potato (70.1 μ g g⁻¹), followed by green chilli (20.2 μ g g⁻¹), blueberries (2. 9 μ g g⁻¹) and grapes (0.7 μ g g⁻¹) (Table 2).

Comparison of grapes, blueberries, sweet potato and green chilli β-carotene with percent recommended dietary allowance (% RDA)

Percent recommended dietary allowance (% RDA) for vitamin A was calculated based on the 158 daily value (DV) of retinol activity equivalents (RAE) µg/day from 100g serving of each species. 159 160 The RAE was calculated by 12µg dietary β -carotene converted to 1µg retinol (REA ratio 12:1) is presented in Table 2. The United States (U.S) advised % RDA's required as RAE 300 µg/day 161 and 400 µg/day for 1 to 3 years and 4 to 8 years children respectively. The results of the present 162 study showed that daily consumption of 100 g of sweet potato would be enough to meet more 163 than 100% RDA among 1 to 8 year old children. Consumption of 100g sweet potato can provide 164 96.1 % RDA of vitamin A for 9 to 13 years males and females and 75% RDA for >19 year aged 165 pregnant women (Table 3). 166

167 **4.** Conclusion

168 Among the four extraction methods, MeOH:DCM simple had 2 fold increase in total carotenoids than MeOH:DCM exhausted, and 12 fold greater total carotenoids than MeOH simple and 169 hexane exhausted methods. Additionally, MeOH:DCM simple method is very easy, less time 170 171 consuming for extraction and rapid detection of carotenoids in fruits, vegetables and tubers. 172 Among the four species evaluated, lutein concentration was greatest in green chilli and 173 blueberries. Sweet potato was rich in β -carotene concentration, which is greater than those reported in rice, wheat, cassava and potato. Therefore, this study suggests the consumption of 174 sweet potato could be a good strategy to address the problem of vitamin A and age related 175 176 macular degeneration (ARMD) deficiencies among peoples in developing countries.

177 **References**

178	1.	Bone R.A, Landrum J.T, Tarsis S.E. Preliminary identification of the human macular
1/9	2	pigment. Vision Res. 1985; 25: 1531-1535.
180	2.	Snodderly D.M. Evidence for protection against age-related macular degeneration by carotenoids and antioxidant vitaming. Am I Clin Nutr. 1995; 62: 1448S 1461S
101	2	Nijzu DV Dadriguaz A D.P. Navy data on the corretancid composition of raw solad
102	3.	Vagetables L East compas Anal 2005: 18: 720 740
183	1	Vegetables. J Food compos Anal. 2005, 18. 759-749.
184	4.	Stephensen C.B. Vitamin A, infection and immune function. Annu Rev Nutr. 2001, 21.
185	5	10/-192. Colio C.M. Estabor S. Ezerviel M.M. Iven D.S.A. Marie A.E.C. Dispetitus commounds
186	Э.	Cella C.M, Esteban S, Ezequiel M.M, Juan P.S.A, Maria A.F.C. Bioactive compounds
18/		and antioxidant activity in different grafted varieties of Bell pepper (<i>Capsicum annum</i>
188	~	L.). Antioxidants. 2015; 4: $427-446$.
189 190	6.	grain development in durum wheat. J Cereal Sci. 2010; 52: 30-38.
191	7.	Ashokkumar K, Tar'an B, Diapari M, Arganosa G, Warkentin T.D. Effect of cultivar and
192		environment on carotenoid profile of pea and chickpea. Crop Sci. 2014; 54: 2225-2235.
193	8.	Aruna G, Mamatha B.S, Baskaran V. Lutein content of selected Indian vegetables and
194		vegetable oils determined by HPLC. J Food compos Anal. 2009; 22: 632-636.
195	9.	Perry A, Rasmussen H, Johnson E.J. Xanthophyll (lutein, zeaxanthin) content in fruits,
196		vegetables and corn and egg products. J Food compos Anal. 2009; 22: 9-15.
197	10	. Taungbodhitham A.K, Jones G.P, Wahlqvist M.L, Briggs D.R. Evaluation of extraction
198		methods for analysis of carotenoids in fruits and vegetables. Food chem. 1998; 63: 577-
199		584.
200	11	. Ashokkumar K, Diapari M, Jha A.B, Tar'an B, Arganosa G, Warkentin T.D. Genetic
201		diversity of nutritionally important carotenoids in 94 pea and 121 chickpea accessions. J
202		Food compos Anal. 2015; 43: 49-60.
203	12	. Muthukrishnan S.D, Ashokkumar K, Annapoorani S. Identification and determination of
204		flavonoids, carotenoids and chlorophyll concentration in Cynodon dactylon (L.) by HPLC
205		analysis. Nat Prod Res. 2014; 29: 785- 790.
206	13	. SAS Institute Inc., SAS/STAT [@] 9.4 User's Guide, 2 nd ed. Cary, NC, (2013).
207	14	. Wu X, Sun C, Yang L, Zeng G, Liu Z, Li Y. β-carotene content in sweet potato varieties
208		from China and the effect of preparation on β -carotene retention in the Yanshu No. 5.
209		Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol. 2008; 9: 581-586.
210	15	Beyer P, Al-Babili S, Ye X, Lucca P, Schaub P, Welsch R, Potrykus I. Golden rice:
211		Introducing the β -carotene biosynthesis pathway into rice endosperm by genetic
212		engineering to defeat vitamin A deficiency. J Nutr. 2002; 132: 506S-510S.
213	16	. Pritwani R, Mathur P. β-carotene content of some commonly consumed vegetables and
214		fruits available in Delhi, India. J Nutr Food Sci. 2017; 7: 1000625.
215	17	. Voutilainen S, Nurmi T, Mursu J, Rissanen T.H. Carotenoids and cardiovascular health.
216		Am J Clin Nutr. 2006; 83: 1265-1271.
217	18	. Tanaka T, Shnimizu M, Moriwaki H. Cancer chemoprevention by carotenoids.
218		Molecules. 2012; 17: 3202-3242.



220 221 Figure. 1. Typical chromatogram of nutritionally important carotenoid profile of sweet potato

(A), and green chilli (B) 222 223

Table 1. Summary of calibration data of individual carotenoids 224

Sl. No.	Compounds	RT (minutes) §	Lambd a max [†]	Linear regressio n equation	R ²	Recover y (%) [‡]	Intra -day RSD (%) [*]	Inter -day RSD (%)*
Carotenoid								
S								
1.	Violaxanthi n	6.20	450	y = 14.731 <i>x</i> – 7.5619	0.99 7	99.5	2.12	3.10
2.	Lutein	11.70	450	y = 12.294x + 18.121	0.99 8	99.9	0.60	1.12
3.	Zeaxanthin	12.45	450	y = 12.504 <i>x</i> – 12.211	0.99 8	99.0	2.20	3.10
4.	β-carotene	34.00	450	y = 10.202x + 34.441	0.99 9	99.5	1.56	2.11

[§]Retention time 225

[†] Absorbance spectrum wavelength (nanometer) 226

227

[‡]Average recovery (n=3) ^{*}Relative standard deviation (%) R²- Regression coefficient 228

SI.					Mean ca	rotenoid concen	tration (µg g ⁻¹	FW)§
No.	Species	Extraction method	Туре	Violaxanthin	Lutein	Zeaxanthin	β.carotene	Total carotenoids
1	Grapes	MeOH:DCM	Fruit	ND	0.5°	ND	0.2°	0.7^{d}
2	Blueberries	MeOH:DCM	Fruit	0.1^{b}	2.3 ^b	ND	0.5 ^c	2.9 ^c
3	Sweet potato	MeOH:DCM	Tuber	0.1 ^b	0.8°	ND	69.2 ^a	70.1 ^a
4	Green chilli	MeOH:DCM	Vegetable	3.5 ^a	12.8^{a}	0.6^{a}	3.3 ^b	20.2 ^b
1	Grapes	MeOH:DCM Exhausted	Fruit	ND	ND	0.1^{a}	0.1 ^c	0.2^d
2	Blueberries	MeOH:DCM Exhausted	Fruit	ND	1.5 ^b	ND	0.3 ^c	1.8 ^c
3	Sweet potato	MeOH:DCM Exhausted	Tuber	ND	0.5 ^c	ND	39.9 ^a	$40.4^{\rm a}$
4	Green chilli	MeOH:DCM Exhausted	Vegetable	0.8^{a}	5.3 ^a	0.1 ^a	0.8^{b}	7.0^{b}
1	Grapes	MeOH Simple	Fruit	ND	0.1 ^b	ND	ND	0.1 ^b
2	Blueberries	MeOH Simple	Fruit	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3	Sweet potato	MeOH Simple	Tuber	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4	Green chilli	MeOH Simple	Vegetable	1.1 ^a	6.5 ^a	0.1 ^a	0.2^{a}	7.9^{a}
1	Grapes	Hexane Exhausted	Fruit	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
2	Blueberries	Hexane Exhausted	Fruit	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
3	Sweet potato	Hexane Exhausted	Tuber	ND	ND	ND	9.5 ^a	9.5 ^a
4	Green chilli	Hexane Exhausted	Vegetable	ND	0.1^{a}	ND	0.3 ^b	0.4^{b}

230 Table 2. Determination of carotenoids concentration using four different extraction methods

\$ Within a column, means followed by different letters differed significantly according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) P < 0.05.

232 MeOH: DCM (methanol: dichloromethane), MeOH (methanol), FW; Fresh weight.

233

Table 3. Percentage of RDA on Vitamin A from 100g serving

								RDA % fo	or vitamin	A from 100g	g serving§				
SI		β-carotene	RAE	Chi	ldren		Males			Females		Pregn	ancy	Lact	ation
No	Species	(µg/100g FW)	(µg/day)‡	1-3yrs	4-8yrs	9-13yrs	14-18yrs	>19yrs	9-13yrs	14-18yrs	>19yrs	<19yrs	>19yrs	<19yrs	>19yrs
1	Grapes	20	1.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
2	Blueberries	50	4.2	1.4	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.3
3	Sweet potato	6920	576.7	192.2	144.2	96.1	64.1	64.1	96.1	82.4	82.4	76.9	74.9	48.1	44.4
4	Green chilli	330	27.5	9.2	6.9	4.6	3.1	3.1	4.6	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.6	2.3	2.1

 $^{\$}$ Recommended dietary allowance (RDA) for vitamin A was calculated based on daily value (DV) of retinol activity equivalents (RAE) μ g/day

from 100 g serving of each species. The United States (U.S), RDAs required RAE 300 μ g/day and 400 μ g/day for 1-3 years and 4-8 years children

respectively; 600 μ g/day for 9-13 years males and females; 900 μ g/day for 14-18 years and >19 years males; 700 μ g/day for 14-18 years and >19

years females; 750 μ g/day and 770 μ g/day for <19 years and >19 years pregnant women, respectively; 1200 μ g/day and 1300 μ g/day for <19 years and >19 years lactating mother, respectively.

[‡]RAE was calculated by 12 µg dietary β -carotene converted to 1 µg retinol (REA ratio 12:1).

241

Sl. No	Compound	Molar mass (g/mol)	Molecular formula	Purity (%)
	Carotenoids			
1.	Violaxanthin	600.85	$C_{40}H_{56}O_4$	>97.0
2.	Lutein	568.87	$C_{40}H_{56}O_2$	>98.0
3.	Zeaxanthin	568.88	$C_{40}H_{56}O_2$	>98.0
4.	β-carotene	536.88	$C_{40}H_{56}$	>97.0

243244 Table S1. Molar mass, molecular formula and purity of individual carotenoids

Table S2. Details of fruits, tuber and vegetable used in this study

Sl. No.	Common Name	Botanical Name	Family	Local name (Tamil)	Edible part tested
	Fruit				
1.	Grapes (black)	<i>Vitis vinifera</i> L. <i>Vaccinium</i> sect.	Vitaceae	Kapputhiratchai	Fruit
2.	Blueberries	Cyanococcus Rydb.	Ericaceae	Avurinelli	Fruit
	Tuber			Sarkaraivalli	
3.	Sweet potato	<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> L.	Convolvulaceae	kizhangu	Tuber
	Vegetable				
4	Green chilli	Capsicum annum L.	Solanaceae	Pachamilakai	Immature frui



252

Figure. S1. Molecular structure of the nutritionally important carotenoids identified in grapes,
 blueberries, sweet potato and green chilli.