



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_45132
Title of the Manuscript:	Simple and rapid extraction method for determination of carotenoids in the edible parts of Vitis vinifera, Vaccinium sect. cyanococcus, Ipomoea batatas and Capsicum annum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The research is very interesting; however, is not a review on the State of the art on the subject thoroughly. An incomplete introduction (lines 19-34).</p> <p>It does lack discussion and support (lines 109-129, 156-166).</p> <p>It is not a conclusion; apparently it is a suggestion or recommendation. A conclusion meets the stated objective (lines 168-176).</p>	Agreed with reviewer comments. Have look at the newly added text in introduction and discussion part
Minor REVISION comments	The researcher obtains very interesting results, should use them to please and write an excellent article.	Agreed with reviewer comments. Please, have a look at revised manuscript thoroughly from introduction to conclusion
Optional/General comments	<p>It is suggested to perform a scientific articles literature review more recent and relevant to the subject in study (177-219).</p> <p>It is suggested to review the international system of units of measurement and applied to the manuscript.</p>	This paper already reported recent articles published in 2014, 2015, and 2017 years.

PART 2:



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Not applicable.