



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_43872
Title of the Manuscript:	The Profile and Behavior of “Digital Tourist” in making decisions and travelling Case Study: Generation Z in South of Jakarta
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The author/s need to:</p> <p>1. highlight the limits of the study.</p> <p>2. highlight potential generalization of study results.</p>	<p>1. Limit of study is revised</p> <p>2. Result is revised</p>
Optional/General comments	Few mistakes, and bibliography is quite rich and correctly listed. The Submission is worthy of publication. I recommend that this paper can be accepted for publication in Advances in Research.	