1	Original Research Article
2	
3	Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) as a business strategy in
4	Manufacturing Small and Medium Enterprises in Nigeria
5	

6 Abstract

7 The goal of this study is to provide insights into total productive maintenance implementation as a business strategy in a manufacturing SME in Nigeria that has had success implementing 8 9 it. A combination of qualitative and quantitative investigation was used for this study, which 10 comprises of literature review, questionnaire survey, comprehensive interviews, and direct 11 observation. In order to achieve competitive advantage in the manufacturing sector, implementing TPM is an effective business strategy, thus this study reviewed Total 12 Productive Maintenance (TPM) implementation as a business strategy in a manufacturing 13 14 SME in Nigeria, and it was found that Total Productive Maintenance (TPM) not only improved overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) but also created a safe working 15 16 environment enabling workers to achieve goals working as a team, thus increasing morale in 17 the enterprise.

18

19 Keywords: Total Productive Maintenance (TPM), Manufacturing SMEs, Overall

20 Equipment Effectiveness (OEE), Business Strategy, Competitive Advantage.

21 **1.0 Introduction**

22 To achieve competitive advantage in manufacturing sectors, Small and medium enterprises 23 (hereinafter SMEs) are being forced to look inwards at various production functions and 24 business processes. This is done in order to optimize manufacturing processes, eliminate 25 equipment breakdowns and increase efficiency through economies of scale paying attention 26 to quality and process improvements. According Wang and Lee (2001), manufacturing 27 systems often operate at less than full capacity potential equipment breakdown thus leading 28 production wastes and losses. And as a result, productivity will be low and the cost of producing goods and services will be high. In order to combat these losses, the concept of 29 30 total productive maintenance (hereinafter TPM) is one of the several methodologies used to eliminate losses in a manufacturing process. This is further supported by Eti, et al. (2004). A 31

study by Brah and Chong (2004) further concluded that there is a positive correlation
between implementing TPM and business performance thus necessitating the need for TPM
to be an integrated effort of the entire manufacturing enterprise.

35

36 Total productive maintenance a methodology developed by the Japanese in 1971 is a 37 philosophy based on productivity maintenance and innovative in approach ensuring that there is no equipment and production breakdown, optimizes equipment effectiveness, eliminates 38 39 defects in a production system and promotes autonomous maintenance through the establishment of a thorough system of preventive maintenance for equipment life span. 40 41 According to Singh, et al. (2013) the objective of every TPM implementation is to advance productivity and quality along with better employee self-esteem and job satisfaction, ensuring 42 joint responsibility between supervisors, operators and maintenance workers, and not simply 43 to keep machines running smoothly, but also to extend and optimize their performance 44 45 overall.

46 Therefore TPM as a whole, places emphasis on (Thomas, 2000):

47

• Maximizing overall equipment effectiveness.

Establishing a planned system of Preventive Maintenance (PM) for the equipment's
life span.

• Involving all employees from top management to shop floor workers.

• Empowering employees to initiate corrective activities.

53 TPM is successfully implemented through its unique eight pillar methodology as shown in

the figure one, paving way for excellent planning, organizing, monitoring and controlling manufacturing practices.



Japanese Term(English Term)	Characteristics
Seiri (Sort/Clear)	Sort out all unnecessary items from the
	Working environment and get rid of them
Seiton (Set in order/Configure)	Arrange all necessary items in good order
	so that they can be easily picked up for use
Seisio (Shine/Clean and check)	Clean the workplace completely to make it
	free from dust, dirt and untidiness
Seiketsu (Standardize/Conformity)	Maintain a high standard of housekeeping
	and workplace organization
Shitsuke (Sustain/Custom and practice)	Train and motivate people to follow good
	housekeeping disciplines autonomously

Table 1: 5S activities

Pillars	Description		
1. Autonomous maintenance	Targeted through towards developing operators that are able to take care of small maintenance tasks, thus freeing up the skilled maintenance people to spend time on more value added activity and technical repairs.		
2. Focused maintenance	Through which focused maintenance activities maximizes overall effectiveness of equipment and processes by elimination of wastes/losses and continuous improvement.		
3. Planned maintenance	Establishes and maintains optimal conditions through planned maintenance, achieved through daily, weekly and monthly assessments to monitor defects and implement improvement programmes.		
4. Quality maintenance	Ensures customer satisfaction through zero defects by placing emphasis on eliminating non conformance cost.		
5. Education & Training	Aims at upgrading the skills and morale of the operators and workers with the goal to create experts in the working environment.		
6. Safety Health & Environment	Aims to create a safe working environment with the goal of achieving zero accidents etc.		
7. Office TPM	Follows the first four pillars of TPM to improve productivity and efficiency of organizational activities through the automation of essential processes		
8. Development Management	Aims to reduce overall the cost of maintenance in the working environment, reducing Mean Time to Repair (MTTR) and improving Mean Time Between Failure (MTBF)		

- 76 **Table 2: Description of the eight pillars of TPM**
- 77

78 **1.1 Small and Medium Enterprise (SME)**

- 79 The term SME stands for small and medium enterprise; some countries have further extended
- 80 the definition to be SMME, which stands for small, medium and micro enterprise (Monks
- 81 P.G, 2010).
- 82 In Nigeria, the National Bureau of Statistics describes a small and medium enterprise as a
- 83 separate and distinct entity including cooperative enterprises and non-governmental

- ⁸⁴ organizations managed by one owner or more including its branches or subsidiaries. Table 3
- 85 illustrates this description
- 86

<mark>S/N</mark>	Size Category	Employment	Assets (=N= Million) (excl. land and buildings)
1	Micro enterprises	Less than 10	Less than 5
2	Small enterprises	10 to 49	5 to less than 50
<mark>3</mark>	Medium enterprises	50 to 199	50 to less than 500

87 **Table 3: Definition of SMEs in Nigeria**

88

89 Manufacturing SMEs play an essential function in global economies by creating employment and thus

- 90 reducing poverty. This is further supported by the economic report by the Small and medium
- 91 Enterprises development Agency of Nigeria (SMEDAN) and National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) for
- 92 2014, stating SMEs contribution to Gross Domestic Product in Nigeria in nominal terms stood at
- 93 55.55%, as seen in table 4

ACTIVITY	SECTOR	MICRO	SMALL	MEDIUM
Agriculture	<mark>86.53</mark>	<mark>6.53</mark>	<mark>3.95</mark>	<mark>97.01</mark>
Mining and	0.28	0.39	<mark>3.60</mark>	<mark>4.27</mark>
quarrying				
Manufacturing	<mark>14.28</mark>	<mark>21.27</mark>	<mark>19.98</mark>	<mark>55.53</mark>
Water supply,				
sewage, Waste	25 44	<mark>6.63</mark>	2.51	34 57
management	2	0.05	2.51	JT.J/
And Remediation				
Construction	<mark>0.52</mark>	2.02	<mark>7.68</mark>	10.22
Trade	<mark>36.34</mark>	<mark>14.39</mark>	<mark>8.68</mark>	<mark>59.41</mark>
Accommodation	<mark>4.23</mark>	<mark>27.98</mark>	<mark>13.68</mark>	<mark>45.90</mark>
<mark>And Food</mark>				
Services				
Transportation	<mark>50.73</mark>	<mark>5.60</mark>	12.03	<mark>68.36</mark>
and				
Storage 3 1				
Information and	0.00	2.38	<mark>9.57</mark>	<mark>11.95</mark>
Communication				
<mark>Arts,</mark>	<mark>47.35</mark>	<mark>28.20</mark>	22.26	<mark>97.82</mark>
Entertainment				
And Recreation				
Finance and	1.05	1.39	<mark>3.69</mark>	6.13
Insurance				
Real Estate	<mark>31.00</mark>	13.25	<mark>11.29</mark>	<mark>55.55</mark>
Profession,	<mark>13.25</mark>	2.08	<mark>5.28</mark>	20.61
Scientific and				

Technical				
Services				
Administrative &	<mark>8.55</mark>	15.20	<mark>65.76</mark>	<mark>89.51</mark>
Support Services				
Education	<mark>2.09</mark>	<mark>14.69</mark>	<mark>24.48</mark>	<mark>41.26</mark>
Human health and	<mark>18.24</mark>	<mark>20.06</mark>	<mark>20.96</mark>	<mark>59.25</mark>
Social Services				
Other	<mark>80.76</mark>	<mark>17.01</mark>	2.23	<u>100.00</u>
Services				

⁹⁴ 95

 Table 4: SMEs Contribution to National GDP, 2014
 (Smedan, 2014)

According to Eti, et al. (2004), many industries in Nigeria function effectively for less than 96 97 50%. Part of the issues is usually caused by excessive downtime, supply failures for input 98 resources, and low spare-capacity to cope with sudden high demands. Manufacturing SME's 99 in Nigeria are not exempted from this issue and unfortunately, the idea of implementing TPM 100 to effectively combat excessive downtime has not been adopted by a meaningful number of 101 manufacturing SMEs. TPM as a tool for process improvement is a tool used to enhance 102 productivity and efficiency, but Achanga, et al. (2006) reports that Manufacturing SMEs are 103 not certain about the cost of implementing such tool hence have no idea about the tangible 104 benefits obtainable. This puts Manufacturing SMEs in Nigeria in a precarious situation as 105 they must be reactive to the current economic situation in order to stay in business and make 106 profits.

107 On the other hand, most manufacturing SMEs in Nigeria lack access to adequate data 108 necessary for decision making hence leading to disastrous decisions being taken by the 109 owner/manager or the production manager Tom, et al. (2016). Thus this study aims to 110 provide insights into total productive maintenance implementation as a business strategy in a 111 manufacturing SME that has had success implementing it.

112

113 **2.0 Materials and Methods**

An empirical study was carried out in order to analyse and evaluate the effectiveness of implementing TPM in such manufacturing enterprises. A combination of qualitative and quantitative investigation was used for this study. These methods are used according to Cooke (2000) to improve the internal validity of data obtained.

118 The study obtained historical maintenance records for 7 months prior to the implementation

119 of TPM and carried out on the spot observation for a total of 4200 hours of machine time

120 after TPM implementation. It was conducted in an enterprise manufacturing foam mattress

and began implementing TPM in 2013 as a result of the need to reduce downtime losses and

122 production costs, and reactive maintenance cost that accounted for 23% of its manufacturing

cost. This methodology was implemented in stages outlines as follows (See Table 1):

124 Stage 1 Introductory stage: in which the owner/manager and the production manager 125 indicated the need to implement TPM. TPM targets and objectives were also identified (table

126 1).

127 Stage 2 Preparatory stage: Staff Training and the preparation of TPM implementation plan

128 Stage 3 Execution stage: Execution of TPM to improve efficiency, using the eight pillars of

- 129 TPM.
- 130

TPM Targets and Objectives (Manufacturing SME)						
Internal Targets External Targets						
Reduction in downtime losses and production	Increase in quality output					
cost						
Eliminate reactive maintenance	Meeting customer demands Just-in-time					
Targe	t Goal					
To achieve zero downtime losses through preve	entive maintenance					
Target Objectives						
1. Reduce equipment and power failure						
2. Eliminate or reduce waiting time for instructions and materials						
3. Maximise effective utilization of resources						
4. Development staffs skill through skills acquisition and training						
5. Improve competitiveness, quality, performance and cost.						
6. Increase the reaction time to customer needs Just-in-time						

131 Table 1: TPM Targets and Objectives (Manufacturing SME)

132

133

134 **3.0 Results**

135 Overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) takes into account, the availability rate, quality rate

and performance rate and is represented as:

137 OEE = Availability x Performance Rate x Quality Rate (1)

Where availability accounts for losses as a result of equipment failure, setup and adjustment
and is calculated as the ratio of operating time to loading time and is calculated as follows:

Availability =
$$\frac{\text{Plannedruntime} - \text{Planneddowntime}}{\text{Plannedruntime}} \times 100 \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

142

And performance rate accounting for losses due to idle time and minor stoppages and iscalculated as ratio of net operating time to operating time and is calculated as follows:

145

Performance rate =
$$\frac{\text{Total Actual amount of product}}{\text{Target amount of product}} \times 100 \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

146

147 Quality rate factors in the defects in process and reduced yield and is defined as ratio of

valuable operating time to net operating time and is calculated as follows:

149

$$Quality rate = \frac{Processed Quantity - defective quantity}{Processed quantity} \times 100 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

150

151 In summary, the generally accepted world-class goals for each factor used to compare to the 152 overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) of a firm is shown in Table 2.

153

Table 2: World class goals for OEE (Kailas, 2009)

OEE Factor	WORLD CLASS RATE (%)
Availability	>90.0%
Performance Rate	>95%
Quality Rate	>99%
OEE	85%

155

156

157 The manufacturing process for the production of a foam mattress in company A was observed

and can be broken down into the following process below in figure one



160 Figure 1: Manufacturing process foam mattress

No of	Availability	Performance	Quality (%)	OEE (%)
Observations	(%)	(%)		
1	76.9	91.7	95.5	67.3
2	77.0	92.0	96.8	68.5
3	77.5	92.2	95.0	67.8
4	77.4	91.8	95.1	67.5
5	76.9	91.6	94.9	66.8
6	75.9	92.0	96.3	67.2
7	77.0	92.0	96.2	68.1

162 Table 3: Summary of OEE measurements before TPM Implementation

163 From the table three, it was observed that the availability figures were found to be

164 comparatively lower than the world average standard for availability (see fig 2). In order to

identify the causes behind these findings, detailed downtime analysis was carried out.



166

167 Fig 2: Measured availability in comparison with world standards

From data collected during the interviews and direct observation of the manufacturing process, factors causing the downtime losses before TPM implementation were identified and a Pareto analysis of the downtime losses showed that equipment breakdown was the major cause. Pareto analysis helps in identifying the factors that are majorly responsible for production system failure (see Table 4 and Figure 3).

Downtime factor	Downtime factor(Mins)	Percentage	Cumulative Percentage
Equipment	300	46.15	46.15
Power Failure	150	23.07	69.22
Scheduled	100	15.38	84.6
Maintenance			
Waiting for materials and	40	6.15	90.75
instructions			
Job meetings and training	40	6.15	96.9

174 **Table 4: Downtime losses**



177

178 Figure 3: Downtime analysis Pareto chart

180

181

182 **4.0 Discussion**

With the major cause of downtime indentified, and by implementing TPM, a systematic form of planned preventive maintenance was put in place that establishes and maintains optimal conditions through routine maintenance of equipments thus ensuring that downtime losses was reduced.

187

188Table 5: TPM effectiveness analysis and benchmarks

S/No	Category	Before TPM Implementation	After TPM Implementation
1	Total Time	4200	4200
2	Downtime	650	600
3	Planned Runtime	3550	3550
4	Runtime losses	820	570
5	Operating time	2730	2980
6	Total Units produced	200	233
7	Production rate(Units/min)	0.80	0.80

8	Target Unit	218	238
9	Defected units	9	3
10	Availability (A)	76.9%	83.9%
11	Performance rate (P)	91.7%	97.8%
12	Quality rate (Q)	95.5%	98.7%
13	QEE	67.41%	80.98%

190

From table five, it can be seen that after TPM was implemented, overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) improved tremendously as seen in figure 4, thus proving to be very effective business strategy for improving competitive advantage and customer satisfaction for the end user.



195



196 Figure 4: OEE Comparisons

197

Implementing TPM at the manufacturing enterprise also enable the enterprise to reduce the need for reactive maintenance hence achieving reduced manufacturing cost, reduced customer complaints and improved its product sales. This is very important as it is necessary for manufacturing firms to achieve full productive capacity. Indirectly, implementing TPM created a safe working environment enabling workers to achieve goals working as a team, thus increasing morale in the enterprise.

It was also observed from the survey that implementing TPM wasn't easy initially due to the need to training staffs to acquire TPM skills thereby increasing manpower cost and the amount of time required in doing so, thus requiring long term planning. This is further supported by Marcelo Rodrigues and Hatakeyama (2006) and Bamber, et al. (1999), In which they stated that in order to combat these factors that contribute to the failure of TPM implementation in manufacturing SMEs, it is necessary to maintain the synergy and willingness of the staffs and the owner/manager involved in order to make TPM implementation continuous and successful.

212

213 **5.0 Conclusion**

In order to achieve competitive advantage in the manufacturing sector, implementing TPM is the key. It has been proven to be efficient and effective in improving performance efficiency and quality thus improving revenue from product sales.

- 217 Therefore the following can be adopted from this study:
- Implementing TPM can enable a manufacturing SME to reduce production losses and
 achieve competitive advantage.
- An appropriate TPM implementation plan has to be in place considering the
 manufacturing SME's values, beliefs and mission.

The study also found that TPM not only improves overall equipment effectiveness (OEE) but also created a safe working environment enabling workers to achieve goals working as a team, thus increasing morale in the enterprise, hence making it a tool to improve workers productivity.

226 **Reference**

227 Ahuja I.P.S & Kumar, P., 2009. A case study of total productive maintenance implementation

- at precision tube mills. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, 15(3), pp. 241-258.
- Achanga, P., Shehab, E., Roy, R. & Nelder, a. G., 2006. Critical success factors for lean
 implementation within SMEs. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 17(4), pp.
 460-471.
- Amit Kumar Gupta & Garg, D. R. K., 2012. OEE Improvement by TPM Implementation: A Case Study.
 International Journal of IT, Engineering and Applied Sciences Research (IJIEASR), 1(1), pp. 115-125.
- Bamber, C., Sharp, J. & Hides, M., 1999. Factors affecting successful implementation of total
- productive maintenance. *Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering*, 5(3), pp. 162-181.
- Brah, S. & Chong, W., 2004. Relationship between total productive maintenance and
 performance. *International Journal of Production Research*, 42(12), pp. 2383-2401.

- Cooke, F. L., 2000. Implementing TPM in plant maintenance: some organisational barriers.
 International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management, 17(9), pp. 1003-1016.
- Eti, M., Ogaji, S. & Probert, S., 2004. Implementing total productive maintenance in Nigerian
 manufacturing industries. *Applied Energy*, Issue 79, p. 385–401.
- Kailas, C., 2009. Modern approach to overall equipment effectiveness (OEE), Seminar
 Report.
- Marcelo Rodrigues & Hatakeyama, K., 2006. Analysis of the fall of TPM in companies.
 Journal of Materials Processing Technology, Issue 179, p. 276–279.
- 246 Monks P.G, 2010. *Sustainable Growth of Sme's,* Port Elizabeth.
- 247 Singh, R., Gohil, A. M., Shah, D. & Desa, S., 2013. Total Productive Maintenance (TPM)
- Implementation in a Machine Shop: A Case Study. *Procedia Engineering*, Issue 51, p. 592 –
 599.
- Smedan, 2014. Smedan and National Bureau of Statistics Collaborative Survey: Selective Findings,
 Abuja: Smedan.
- 252 Thomas, M., 2000. Complimentarity of TPM and TQM: The Indian Experience. Sevilla.
- 253 Tom, E. E., Glory, B. & Alfred, U. J., 2016. An Appraisal of Nigeria's Micro, Small and
- 254 Medium Enterprises. International Journal of Small Business and Entrepreneurship
- 255 *Research*, 4(4), pp. 1-15.
- 256 Wang, F.-K. & Lee, W., 2001. Learning curve analysis in total productive maintenance. The
- 257 International Journal of Management Sciences, Volume 29, pp. 491-499.