



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_45085
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF EFFICACY OF PANAX GINSENG AND VITAMIN E SUPPLEMENT ON NICOTINE AND CHRONIC STRESS INDUCED REPRODUCTIVE TOXICITY IN MALE ALBINO WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Footnotes under the tables are unclear. Figures 1 to 11 are not necessary because they present the same data from tables 1 to 3. Since you studied the effects of vitamin E and panax ginseng, you should compare the effects of these 2 compounds in the discussion. Which one would you recommend and why based on your results? Did you give nicotine to the rats orally? If so, how much was nicotine absorbed to the blood circulation and with the amount you gave the rats could it be toxic enough? 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Veeravan Lekskulchai
Department, University & Country	Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand