#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_45085
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF EFFICACY OF PANAX GINSENG AND VITAMIN E SUPPLEMENT ON NICOTINE AND CHRONIC STRESS INDUCED REPRODUCTIVE TOXICITY IN MALE ALBINO WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

## **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Methods  - Detailed procedures are not required to be described, a reference to the method used would suffice. The objective of the paper gets diluted because of such lengthy explanations	
	Results - Instead of mentioning the names of all the groups again and again (i.e. Nicotine, nicotine + stress, etc) the authors can name the groups as A, B, C etc so that it becomes easy to read and comprehend.	
	Citation style  - The authors are abruptly ending sentences eg: 'is in agreement with earlier report of [3]', this is not allowed in scientific writing, please write the name of the first author of that paper followed by et al and then cite the reference number	
	Placement of figures  - Kindly place the figures of graphical representation and histopathology along with the relevant paragraph in the results section	
	<ul> <li>Number of figures</li> <li>The representation of figures may be limited to important concepts of the paper and not for all the outcomes assessed</li> <li>Kindly stick to the journals guidelines for the maximum number of figures, tables and word count.</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments	Introduction  One feels unable Please abbreviate all the words at first use and once abbreviated use it consistently throughout the text and refrain fro using the word again	
	<ul> <li>Methods <ul> <li>Weight of the wistar rats is mentioned here as 150 – 220 whereas in the abstract it is 150 – 200</li> <li>Please write the approval number for the study</li> <li>Rodents are quite notattentions of passers-by (not required)</li> <li>The left cauda epididymisanimal was then obtained and recorded (not required)</li> <li>Twenty microlitres of 0.05% eosin Y–nigrosinfor each assay (not required)</li> <li>Serum was obtained following the method previously described in preceding sections. The serum was then used for reproductive hormonal assay (not required)</li> <li>Serum testosterone, luteinizing hormone and follicle stimulating hormone concentrations were determined using the enzyme linked immuno-sorbent assay (ELISA) kit method as used by ? (please abbreviate)</li> <li>The testis and epididymis of the controlof x100 were viewed (kindly write in brief).</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	Results - Sperm motility parameters (motile sperm was) – motile spermatozoa / motile sperms	
	Discussion - of either of (grammatical error)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Optional/General comments	Please number the lines as per the journals guidelines to help the reviewer in reviewing	
	English language check by a linguistic expert or a native speaker	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mohammed Ismail Khan
Department, University & Country	ESIC Medical College, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)