



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_44365
Title of the Manuscript:	Predictive models for Pigeonpea in Northern Hills of Chhattisgarh
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract should be a summary of the research article and should include the purpose, study area and period, methodology, a few major findings and recommendations. Author didn't include any findings in the abstract.</p> <p>Elaborate the term M.P, C.G, and CV.</p> <p>Additional citation required, research gap is not clear.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title should be: Predictive models for Pigeonpea in Northern Hills of Chhattisgarh, India</p> <p>As Chhattisgarh is not known to all.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The article should be considered for publication after addressing the above concerns	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdullah-Al-Zabir
Department, University & Country	Sylhet Agricultural University, Bangladesh