



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_42514
Title of the Manuscript:	Direct and residual effect of phosphorus fertilizer with AM fungi in maize- green gram cropping sequence on nutrients content and uptake
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meteorological data should be given as a table. 2. Result section should be shortened but discussion part should be more descriptive. 3. Suggestion should be added to conclusion part. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>Subject is good. Material and method is adequate. The amount of data presented is sufficient, the statistical treatment and calculations would appear adequate. The figures are appropriate. Manuscript is including important results. The conclusions appear to be justified but suggestions should be added. In my opinion manuscript is able to publishing in Advances in Research SCIENCEDOMAIN.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yeşim Toğay
Department, University & Country	Mugla S.K. University, Turkey