



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_41900
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative assessment between beneficiaries and non-beneficiaries of MGNREGA in Arunachal Pradesh: A case of two districts
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Statistical analysis is wrong. Paired t test should be used for a situation of pre and post situation.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	K. Shobha
Department, University & Country	Department of Economics Government Arts College, Coimbatore, India